ARITHMETIC FUNDAMENTAL LEMMA FOR THE SPHERICAL HECKE ALGEBRA

CHAO LI, MICHAEL RAPOPORT, AND WEI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We define Hecke correspondences and Hecke operators on unitary RZ spaces and study their basic geometric properties, including a commutativity conjecture on Hecke operators. Then we formulate the Arithmetic Fundamental Lemma conjecture for the spherical Hecke algebra. We also formulate a conjecture on the abundance of spherical Hecke functions with identically vanishing first derivative of orbital integrals. We prove these conjectures for the case $U(1) \times U(2)$.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Notations	8
3.	FL for the full spherical Hecke algebra	8
4.	An alternative basis of \mathcal{H}_K	16
5.	RZ spaces and their Hecke correspondences	21
6.	AFL Conjectures for the Hecke algebra	27
7.	The case $n = 1$	30
8.	Base-point freeness	42
9.	Appendix: Correspondences for formal schemes and maps on K-groups	43
Re	ferences	45

1. INTRODUCTION

In the relative trace formula approach of Jacquet and Rallis to the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture, the Jacquet-Rallis fundamental lemma (FL) conjecture plays a key role [15]. It states an identity of the following form. Let p be an odd prime number. Let F_0 be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and let F/F_0 be an unramified quadratic extension. Let W_0 be a split F/F_0 hermitian space of dimension n + 1 and let W_0^b be the perp-space of a vector $u_0 \in W_0$ of unit length. Then the following identity holds for all matching regular semi-simple elements $\gamma \in \operatorname{GL}_n(F) \times \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(F)$ and $g \in \operatorname{U}(W_0^b)(F_0) \times \operatorname{U}(W_0)(F_0)$,

(1.0.1)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(g, \mathbf{1}_{K^{\flat} \times K}) = \omega(\gamma) \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \mathbf{1}_{K'^{\flat} \times K'}).$$

Date: August 28, 2023.

Here on the RHS, there appears the weighted orbital integral of the characteristic function of the natural hyperspecial compact subgroup $K^{\prime \flat} \times K'$ of $\operatorname{GL}_n(F) \times \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(F)$; on the LHS there appears the orbital integral of the characteristic function of the natural hyperspecial compact subgroup $K^{\flat} \times K$ of $\operatorname{U}(W_0^{\flat})(F_0) \times \operatorname{U}(W_0)(F_0)$. The first factor on the RHS is the natural transfer factor, cf. [29]; both sides only depend on the orbits of γ , resp. g, under natural group actions.

The FL conjecture was proved for F with large residue characteristic by Yun and Gordon [35], and is now proved completely: the proof by R. Beuzart-Plessis [2] is local; the proof in [40] (for $p \ge n+1$) is global.

In fact, an identity of this form is true for the whole spherical Hecke algebra, and is due to S. Leslie [19]. Let $\varphi' \in \mathcal{H}_{K'^b \times K'}$ be an arbitrary element in the spherical Hecke algebra for $\operatorname{GL}_n(F) \times \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(F)$. Then the following identity holds for all matching regular semi-simple elements $\gamma \in \operatorname{GL}_n(F) \times \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(F)$ and $g \in \operatorname{U}(W_0^{\flat})(F_0) \times \operatorname{U}(W_0)(F_0)$,

(1.0.2)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(g,\varphi) = \omega(\gamma)\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,\varphi').$$

Here on the LHS appears the orbital integral of the image φ of φ' under the base change homomorphism from the spherical Hecke algebra of $\operatorname{GL}_n(F) \times \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(F)$ to the spherical Hecke algebra of $\operatorname{U}(W_0^{\flat})(F_0) \times \operatorname{U}(W_0)(F_0)$. The second factor on the RHS is the weighted orbital integral of φ' . The method of proof of [19] is ultimately global.

The third author proposed a relative trace formula approach to the arithmetic Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture. In this context, he formulated the arithmetic fundamental lemma (AFL) conjecture [38]. The AFL relates the special value of the derivative of an orbital integral to an arithmetic intersection number on a Rapoport-Zink formal moduli space (RZ space) of p-divisible groups attached to a unitary group. The AFL conjecture is an identity of the following form. Let W_1 be a non-split F/F_0 -hermitian space of dimension n + 1and let W_1^{\flat} be the perp-space of a vector $u_1 \in W_1$ of unit length. Then the following identity holds for all matching regular semi-simple elements $\gamma \in \operatorname{GL}_n(F) \times \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(F)$ and $g \in U(W_1^{\flat})(F_0) \times U(W_1)(F_0)$,

(1.0.3)
$$2\langle g\Delta, \Delta \rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}} \cdot \log q = -\omega(\gamma) \,\partial \mathrm{Orb}(\gamma, \mathbf{1}).$$

Here the second factor on the RHS is the special value of the derivative of the weighted orbital integral of the unit element in the spherical Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{K'^b \times K'}$. On the LHS appears the intersection number of the diagonal cycle Δ of the product RZ-space $\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1} =$ $\mathcal{N}_n \times \mathcal{N}_{n+1}$ with its translate under the automorphism of $\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}$ induced by g. Here, for any n, \mathcal{N}_n is the moduli space of framed *basic* principally polarized p-divisible groups with action of O_F of signature (1, n - 1).

The AFL conjecture is now known to hold for any odd prime p, cf. W. Zhang [40], Mihatsch-Zhang [24], Z. Zhang [41]. These proofs are global in nature. Local proofs of the AFL are known for n = 1, 2 (W. Zhang [38]), and for minuscule elements (He-Li-Zhu [14]). The aim of the present paper is to propose a variant of the AFL conjecture in the spirit of Leslie's result on the FL, where the unit element in the spherical Hecke algebra is replaced by an arbitrary element $\varphi' \in \mathcal{H}_{K'^b \times K'}$. The proposed formula takes the following form,

(1.0.4)
$$2\langle g\Delta, \mathbb{T}_{\varphi}(\Delta) \rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}} \cdot \log q = -\omega(\gamma) \,\partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \varphi').$$

The new feature compared to the AFL conjecture (1.0.3) for the unit element is the appearance of the Hecke operator \mathbb{T}_{φ} on the LHS, and the definition of such Hecke operators is one of the main issues of the present paper, see below.

The AFL conjecture comes, as usual, in a homogeneous version (as stated above) and an inhomogeneous version. However, in contrast to the case of the unit element, these two versions are not equivalent: the homogeneous version implies the inhomogeneous version but not conversely. It is conceivable that the inhomogeneous version is easier to prove in some cases.

As evidence for this conjecture, we prove it in the case n = 1 (in this case, the homogeneous version and the inhomogeneous version are easily seen to be equivalent).

Theorem 1.0.1. The AFL formula (1.0.4) holds for n = 1.

The proof is local, by explicit calculation of both sides of the formula and resembles the proof of the AFL in cases of low rank in [38]. On the geometric side we exploit the fact that, in the particular case n = 1, the Hecke operators are induced by explicit geometric correspondences which are finite and flat. Another ingredient is the theory of quasi-canonical divisors on \mathcal{N}_2 in the sense of [17]. On the analytic side, we also give a purely local proof of the FL for the whole Hecke algebra (Leslie's theorem) in this case.

Our definition of Hecke operators (in K-theory) is based on the fact that there is a presentation of the spherical Hecke algebra of the unitary group as a polynomial algebra. The basis elements of this presentation can be chosen to be decomposed into a product (i.e., convolution) of *intertwining Hecke functions*. Here an intertwining Hecke function in the Iwahori Hecke algebra for a fixed Iwahori subgroup is a function of the form $\mathbf{1}_{KK'}$ for parahoric subgroups K, K' stabilizing a facet in the alcove in the Bruhat–Tits building corresponding to the Iwahori subgroup¹. For these elements it is possible to define integral models of Hecke correspondences. Indeed, we can naturally define a geometric correspondence between two RZ spaces for parahorics K, K' as above, a diagram of RZ spaces at parahoric levels $K, K', K \cap K'$,

(1.0.5)
$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{N}_{K\cap K'} \\ \pi_1 \\ \mathcal{N}_K \\ \mathcal{N}_{K'} \\ \mathcal{N}_{K'}. \end{array}$$

¹The terminology "intertwining Hecke function" is borrowed from [22, Definition B.2.3], where a special case is considered.

In fact, for our purposes, it suffices to consider intertwining Hecke correspondences of the form $\mathbf{1}_{KK'}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{K'K}$, where K' is a maximal parahoric and K is the hyperspecial vertex in the fixed alcove defining the spherical Hecke algebra. Hecke operators for general elements in the spherical Hecke algebra, in the sense of maps on K-groups, are then defined in three steps. The basis elements, also called *atomic elements*, can be written in the form $\phi_K = \mathbf{1}_{KK'} * \mathbf{1}_{K'K}$, where K' is a maximal parahoric subgroup corresponding to a vertex in the fixed alcove, and this defines the corresponding Hecke operator. For a monomial in the basis elements, the corresponding Hecke operator is defined as a product of basic Hecke operators. The general case is obtained by linear combinations. However, at this point arises a highly non-trivial problem: the definition of monomial Hecke operators presupposes that the Hecke operators corresponding to different ϕ_K commute. We conjecture that this is indeed true but at the moment our formulation of the AFL formula (1.0.4) is contingent on the solution of this conjecture. More precisely, without this conjecture, the formulation of the AFL conjecture becomes somewhat awkward (cf. Remark 6.1.5), unless φ is a power of a minuscule element (but even this instance of the AFL formula may be interesting to prove).

The idea of defining Hecke operators as linear combinations of products of certain distinguished Hecke operators also appears in the work of Li–Mihatsch on the linear ATC [21]. In their case, the projection maps π_1 and π_2 are finite and flat, and the same is true for compositions of distinguished Hecke correspondences. This implies that their Hecke operators are induced by explicit geometric Hecke correspondences. This also allows them to pass to the generic fiber to prove the necessary commutativity statement in their context.

In our case the projection maps π_1 and π_2 are usually not flat and the composition of such correspondences, in the sense of maps on K-groups, is not induced by the composition of geometric correspondences. This discrepancy between compositions of geometric correspondences and K-group correspondences would disappear if instead of usual (*classical*) formal schemes we had used *derived* formal schemes in the definition of geometric correspondences. In this sense, our definition of Hecke operators is a "shadow" of a more sophisticated definition (which remains to be developed). By avoiding derived schemes, we forgo the possibility of defining our Hecke operators in terms of geometric Hecke correspondences². However, it is unclear to us whether such a more sophisticated definition can be helpful in resolving the commutativity conjecture mentioned above. Relatedly, it seems that the more sophisticated definition of Hecke correspondences transfers to the global context of integral models of Shimura varieties for GU(1, n - 1) but the relation to the classical Hecke correspondences in the generic fiber is unclear.

 $^{^{2}}$ It may be possible, at least as far as defining and calculating intersection multiplicities is concerned, to replace derived formal schemes by their underlying classical formal scheme, equipped with a suitable element in the derived category of coherent sheaves.

Let us compare our construction of Hecke operators with variants in the literature; indeed, the construction of integral Hecke correspondences and their induced Hecke operators on cohomology, resp. cycle groups, resp. K-groups is a well-known problem in various contexts. An example, in the context of integral models of Shimura varieties, occurs in the proof of the Eichler-Shimura congruence relation, comp. Faltings-Chai [8] and Bültel-Wedhorn [4], Koskivirta [16], Lee [18], Wedhorn [33]. Specifically, in the case of the Siegel moduli space with hyperspecial level at p, one considers simply the space of all isogenies of p-power degree and then isolates inside it a subspace that can be analyzed for the purpose at hand (note that the space of all isogenies of p-power degree is an unwieldy object that is hard to control). Let us also mention the recent paper by Fakhrudin-Pilloni [7], in which they aim to define Hecke operators for automorphic vector bundles on p-integral models of Shimura varieties with hyperspecial level at p. Translated to our language of RZ spaces, they consider correspondences given by diagrams (1.0.5), where K and K' are hyperspecial and conjugate under an auxiliary group (in their case, a group of unitary or symplectic similitudes). It should be pointed out that such diagrams exist only rarely: in the case of the symplectic group, there is precisely one such diagram (K is the stabilizer of a selfdual lattice and K' is the stabilizer of a lattice selfdual up to a scalar), and similarly in the case of unitary groups considered here in the even rank case when K is the stabilizer of a selfdual lattice and K' is the stabilizer of a lattice selfdual up to a scalar; in the case of the general linear group, all pairs K, K' of hyperspecial subgroups corresponding to vertices in a fixed alcove give such diagrams. On the other hand, in [26, §7] Pilloni defines more general automorphic vector bundle Hecke operators for GSp_4 in a way somewhat similar to ours, via intertwining Hecke operators. It is interesting to note that in the context of [7], there is also a commutativity conjecture of Hecke operators [7, Rem. 7.6]; however, there seems to be no direct relation to our conjecture above (but maybe a solution to one of the problems can give indications for a solution to the other problem). We also note that a function field analog has been considered by Yun and the third author (cf. [36, Prop. 5.10] and [37, Prop. 3.14]), where they consider the moduli space of GL₂-shtukas (with an arbitrary number of legs) and construct Hecke correspondences for a natural basis (as a vector space) of the spherical Hecke algebra. They show a commutativity statement using crucially an equidimensionality result (cf. [36, Lem. 5.9] and [37, Lem. 3.13]), which is in turn proved via constructions closely related to the Geometric Satake isomorphism. Another attempt at defining integral Hecke correspondences occurs for RZ spaces in [30, Chap. 4]. That definition suffers from several drawbacks, the most serious being that the projection morphisms may not be proper and not surjective, cf. [30, remark after Prop. 4.44].

Why is it of interest to extend the AFL conjecture from the unit element to all elements in the spherical Hecke algebra? The reason that in the proof of the global Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture (e.g., [39, 3]) one only considers the FL for the unit element is the density theorem of Ramakrishnan [27]. It allows one to avoid the Jacquet–Rallis fundamental lemma for the full spherical Hecke algebra at inert places. However, such a density result is not available for the orthogonal group, in which case we need necessarily to consider the full Hecke algebra. It should be pointed out, however, that at present we do not have a formulation of an FL conjecture or an AFL conjecture in the case of the orthogonal group. Another motivation comes from the consideration of the *p*-adic height pairing of arithmetic diagonal cycles, as in on-going work of Disegni and the third author [6]. Here it is necessary to consider all Hecke correspondences at *p*-adic places. This is one of the reasons, why in [6] it is assumed that all *p*-adic places are split in the quadratic extension of global fields F/F_0 . When there are inert *p*-adic places, it will be necessary to consider Hecke correspondences at inert places and the situation of the present paper becomes relevant. One may even need to consider the more complicated case of the Iwahori level Hecke algebra. In fact, in Disegni's work on the *p*-adic Gross–Zagier formula for Shimura curves in the inert case [5], a crucial ingredient are Hecke correspondences for arbitrarily deep level.

One spin-off of the consideration of the AFL conjecture for the spherical Hecke algebra is that it naturally leads to the following question, also partly motivated by Disegni's work. Namely, one may ask whether a function in the spherical Hecke algebra is determined by its first derivatives of orbital integrals over regular semi-simple elements. To put this into context, it should be pointed out that a function in the spherical Hecke algebra is determined by its orbital integrals over regular semi-simple elements, cf. Proposition 8.1.1. Experimental evidence points to the fact that these two questions have quite distinct answers. Indeed, we conjecture that there is an abundance of functions with vanishing first derivatives of orbital integrals, in the following precise form.

Let G'_{rs,W_1} denote the open subset of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F) \times \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(F)$ consisting of regular semisimple elements matching with elements in the non-quasi-split unitary group $\mathrm{U}(W_1^{\flat})(F_0) \times \mathrm{U}(W_1)(F_0)$.

Conjecture 1.0.2. The map

$$\partial \operatorname{Orb} : \mathcal{H}_{K'^{\flat} \times K'} \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(G'_{\mathrm{rs},W_1})$$

has a large kernel, in the sense that the kernel generates the whole ring $\mathcal{H}_{K'^{\flat} \times K'}$ as an ideal (note that this kernel is only a vector subspace rather than an ideal). Similarly, the map defined by the intersection numbers, $\operatorname{Int} : \mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat} \times K} \to C^{\infty}(G'_{\mathrm{rs},W_1})$, has a large kernel.

The conjecture is somewhat speculative and we give several weaker variants of it. We confirm this conjecture in the case n = 1.

Theorem 1.0.3. Conjecture 1.0.2 holds when n = 1.

However, even without spin-offs, the arithmetic fundamental lemma for the entire spherical Hecke algebra is an interesting problem of its own, which may turn out to be quite difficult. Its solution might yield additional insight into the nature of Rapoport-Zink spaces and their special cycles. It might also be a good testing ground for applying derived algebraic geometry in an unequal characteristic situation, after its success in the equal characteristic counterpart, comp. [9]. It would also be interesting to consider Hecke correspondences for other RZ spaces.

Since the arithmetic fundamental lemma for the entire spherical Hecke algebra seems so difficult, it may be instructive to prove it in special cases. We already mentioned its inhomogeneous version. Another possible simplification may occur for Hecke functions of the form $\varphi' = \mathbf{1}_{K'^{\flat}} \otimes f'$, where $f' \in \mathcal{H}_{K'}$. Yet another simplification may occur for atomic elements.

We note that our procedure is based on integral models of Hecke correspondences for certain elements that are not contained in the spherical Hecke algebra (the function $\mathbf{1}_{KK'}$ is usually not spherical). Now $\mathbf{1}_{KK'}$ is contained in the Iwahori Hecke algebra (corresponding to a fixed chamber containing the facets corresponding to K and K'), and it would be interesting to see how large a subalgebra they generate, in order to define the LHS of (1.0.4) for a wider class of functions φ . On the other hand, at this moment we do not know natural candidates of smooth transfers (in the sense of Jacquet–Rallis) for functions not in the spherical Hecke algebra, so we have no immediate use for Arithmetic Transfer conjectures for all integral Hecke correspondences that we construct in this way.

In view of the global nature of the proof of Leslie's theorem and of the various FL statements for full Hecke algebras in the Langlands program, it is natural to speculate that a proof of our AFL conjecture would necessarily require a global input. It seems that the most promising approach is to study the global p-adic height pairing of Nekovář, which satisfies a "modularity" condition, in the sense that the action of the Hecke algebra on this height pairing factors through an action on automorphic forms. One may leverage this modularity to deduce a version of the (global) relative trace formula identities for the *full Hecke algebra*, from the a priori weaker result for a *partial Hecke algebra* (i.e., locally the unit element at inert place and arbitrary at split places). One may then hope to deduce from such global identities the AFL identity (1.0.4). The aforementioned work of Disegni and the third author on p-adic height pairings [6] involves the projection to the *ordinary part*; this is an obstacle to pushing through this proof strategy.

The layout of the paper is as follows. After a notation section, we recall in §3 the setup and review the formulation of the Jacquet–Rallis transfer and Fundamental Lemma for the full spherical Hecke algebra. In §4 we define atomic Hecke functions and exhibit the spherical Hecke algebra as the polynomial algebra of the atomic elements. In §5 we define various Rapoport–Zink spaces with certain parahoric levels, and use them to define Hecke correspondences. We then formulate the commutativity conjecture. In §6 we state the AFL conjecture for the spherical Hecke algebra, and in §7 we prove it in the case $U(1) \times U(2)$. In §8 we formulate a conjecture on the abundance of spherical Hecke functions with identically vanishing first derivative of orbital integrals. In §9 we collect a few facts on the general theory of correspondences. We thank Tony Feng, Benjamin Howard and Andreas Mihatsch for their help. We thank SLMath for its hospitality to all three of us during the Spring 2023 semester on "Algebraic cycles, L-values, and Euler systems" when part of this work was done. CL was supported by the NSF grant DMS #2101157. MR was supported by the Simons foundation. WZ was supported by the NSF grant DMS #1901642 and the Simons Foundation.

2. NOTATIONS

Let p > 2 be a prime. Let F_0 be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , with ring of integers O_{F_0} , residue field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ of size q, and uniformizer ϖ . Let F be the unramified quadratic extension of F_0 , with ring of integers O_F and residue field k_F . Let σ be the nontrivial Galois automorphism of F/F_0 . Fix $\delta \in O_F^{\times}$ such that $\sigma(\delta) = -\delta$. Let val : $F \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the valuation on F. Let $|\cdot|_F : F \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ (resp. $|\cdot| : F_0 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$) be the normalized absolute value on F (resp. F_0). Let $\eta = \eta_{F/F_0} : F_0^{\times} \to \{\pm 1\}$ be the quadratic character associated to F/F_0 . We let $\tilde{\eta} : F^{\times} \to \{\pm 1\}$ be the unique unramified quadratic character extending η . Let \check{F} be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of F, and $O_{\check{F}}$ its ring of integers, and \bar{k} its residue field.

For a linear algebraic group G over F_0 , we use the notation $C_0^{\infty}(G)$ for $C_0^{\infty}(G(F_0))$.

3. FL FOR THE FULL SPHERICAL HECKE ALGEBRA

In this section we review the formulation of the Jacquet–Rallis transfer and Fundamental Lemma for the full spherical Hecke algebra.

3.1. Groups. We recall the group-theoretic setup of [28, §2] in both homogeneous and inhomogeneous settings. Let $n \ge 1$. In the homogeneous setting, set

(3.1.1)
$$G' := \operatorname{Res}_{F/F_0}(\operatorname{GL}_n \times \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}),$$

a reductive algebraic group over F_0 . Let W be a F/F_0 -hermitian space of dimension n+1. Fix $u \in W$ a non-isotropic vector (the *special vector*), and let $W^{\flat} = \langle u \rangle^{\perp}$. Set

(3.1.2)
$$G_W = \mathrm{U}(W^{\flat}) \times \mathrm{U}(W),$$

a reductive algebraic group over F_0 . We have the notion of a regular semi-simple element, for $\gamma \in G'(F_0)$ and for $g \in G_W(F_0)$. The notions of regular semi-simple elements are with respect to the action of the reductive algebraic group over F_0 ,

$$H'_{1,2} = H'_1 \times H'_2 := \operatorname{Res}_{F/F_0}(\operatorname{GL}_n) \times (\operatorname{GL}_n \times \operatorname{GL}_{n+1})$$

on G', resp., of $U(W^{\flat}) \times U(W^{\flat})$ on G_W . The sets of regular semi-simple elements are denoted by $G'(F_0)_{\rm rs}$ and $G_W(F_0)_{\rm rs}$ respectively. We choose a basis of W by first choosing a basis of W^{\flat} and then adding the special vector as the last basis vector. This then gives an identification of $G_W \otimes_{F_0} F$ with $G' \otimes_{F_0} F$, and defines the notion of matching $\gamma \leftrightarrow g$ between regular semi-simple elements of $G_W(F_0)$ and $G'(F_0)$, cf. [28, §2]. In the inhomogeneous setting, recall the symmetric space

(3.1.3)
$$S = S_{n+1} := \{ g \in \operatorname{Res}_{F/F_0} \operatorname{GL}_{n+1} \mid g\overline{g} = 1_{n+1} \}$$

and the map $r : \operatorname{Res}_{F/F_0} \operatorname{GL}_{n+1} \to S$ given by $g \mapsto \gamma = g\overline{g}^{-1}$, which induces an isomorphism

$$(\operatorname{Res}_{F/F_0}\operatorname{GL}_{n+1})/\operatorname{GL}_{n+1} \simeq S$$

We have the notion of a regular semi-simple element, for $\gamma \in S(F_0)$ and for $g \in U(W)(F_0)$ and, after the choice of a basis of W as above, the notion of matching $\gamma \leftrightarrow g$. The notions of regular semi-simple elements are with respect to the conjugation actions of $H' := \operatorname{GL}_n$ on S, resp., of $H := U(W^{\flat})$ on U(W). The sets of regular semi-simple elements are denoted by $S(F_0)_{\rm rs}$ and $U(W)(F_0)_{\rm rs}$ respectively.

3.2. Orbital integrals. We recall the orbital integrals in both homogeneous and inhomogeneous settings, following [28, §5]. In the homogeneous setting, for $\gamma \in G'(F_0)_{\rm rs}$, a function $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(G')$ and a complex parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we define

(3.2.1)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \varphi, s) := \int_{H_{1,2}'(F_0)} \varphi(h_1^{-1} \gamma h_2) |\det h_1|_F^s \eta(\det h_2) \, \mathrm{d}h_1 \, \mathrm{d}h_2,$$

where we use fixed Haar measures on $H'_1(F_0)$ and $H'_2(F_0)$ and the product Haar measure on $H'_{1,2}(F_0) = H'_1(F_0) \times H'_2(F_0)$. We further define the value and derivative at s = 0,

(3.2.2)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,\varphi) := \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,\varphi,0) \text{ and } \partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,\varphi) := \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\Big|_{s=0} \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,\varphi,s).$$

The integral defining $\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \varphi, s)$ is absolutely convergent, and depends only on the orbit of γ .

Now we turn to the inhomogeneous setting. For $\gamma \in S(F_0)_{rs}$, a function $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(S)$, and a complex parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we introduce the *weighted orbital integral*

(3.2.3)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,\phi,s) := \int_{H'(F_0)} \phi(h^{-1}\gamma h) |\det h|^s \eta(\det h) \, \mathrm{d}h$$

as well as the value and derivative at s = 0,

$$\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,\phi) := \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,\phi,0) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,\phi) := \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\Big|_{s=0} \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,\phi,s).$$

As in the homogeneous setting, the integral defining $\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \phi, s)$ is absolutely convergent, and depends only on the orbit of γ .

3.3. Matching and transfer. Let W_0 , W_1 be representatives of the two isomorphism classes of F/F_0 -hermitian spaces of dimension n + 1. We assume W_0 to be split. Take the special vectors $u_0 \in W_0$ and $u_1 \in W_1$ to have the same norm (not necessarily a unit). We also choose bases of W_0 and W_1 as above. Then matching defines bijections of regular semisimple orbits

$$(3.3.1) \qquad \qquad \left[G_{W_0}(F_0)\right]_{\mathrm{rs}} \coprod \left[G_{W_1}(F_0)\right]_{\mathrm{rs}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left[G'(F_0)\right]_{\mathrm{rs}}$$

in the homogeneous setting, and

$$(3.3.2) \qquad \qquad \left[\mathrm{U}(W_0)(F_0) \right]_{\mathrm{rs}} \coprod \left[\mathrm{U}(W_1)(F_0) \right]_{\mathrm{rs}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left[S(F_0) \right]_{\mathrm{rs}}$$

in the inhomogeneous setting, cf. [28, §§2.1, 2.2].

Then associated to a transfer factor $\omega_{G'}: G'(F_0)_{\rm rs} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ we have the notion of transfer between functions $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(G')$ and pairs of functions $(f_0, f_1) \in C_c^{\infty}(G_{W_0}) \times C_c^{\infty}(G_{W_1})$ ([29, Definition 2.2]). We will always use the transfer factor given by [29, (5.2)] (extrapolated in the obvious way from odd n to even n). Similarly, for a transfer factor $\omega_S: S(F_0)_{\rm rs} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ we have the notion of transfer between functions $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(S)$ and pairs of functions $(f_0, f_1) \in C_c^{\infty}(U(W_0)) \times C_c^{\infty}(U(W_1))$ ([29, Definition 2.4]) We will always use the transfer factor given by [29, (5.5)] (again extrapolated to all n).

3.4. Satake isomorphism and base change. Let $K' = K'_n = \operatorname{GL}_n(O_F)$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{K'} = \mathbb{Q}[K'_n \setminus \operatorname{GL}_n(F)/K'_n]$ the Hecke algebra with coefficients in \mathbb{Q} of GL_n .

We first recall the Satake isomorphism for GL_n over F. Let $T \subseteq \operatorname{GL}_n$ be the diagonal torus. The cocharacter group $X_*(T)$ is a free abelian group generated by $\{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n\}$, where μ_i is the injection in the *i*-th factor. For $\mu \in X_*(T)$, denote by $[\mu]$ the corresponding element in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[X_*(T)]$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, define

$$x_i := [\mu_i] \in \mathbb{C}[X_*(T)].$$

Let σ_i be the degree *i* elementary symmetric polynomial in $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Then the Satake transform gives an isomorphism of algebras

(3.4.1) Sat:
$$\mathcal{H}_{K'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n^{\pm}] = \mathbb{C}[T(F)/T(O_F)]^{S_n}.$$

It sends the minuscule function $\mathbf{1}_{K'\varpi^{(1^i,0^{n-i})}K'}$ to $q_F^{i/2}\sigma_i = q^i\sigma_i$, which corresponds to the sum of the elements in the S_n -orbit of $\varpi^{(1^i,0^{n-i})}T(O_F)$. Note that since the modulus function $\delta_B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ takes values in \mathbb{Q} , the homomorphism (3.4.1) is defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Next we recall the Satake isomorphism for the unramified unitary group. Let now W_0 be a split F/F_0 -hermitian space of dimension n. We choose a basis of W such that the hermitian form is given by the antidiagonal unit matrix. Let $\Xi \subset W_0$ be the standard lattice, which is self-dual, and let $K \subset U(W_0)(F_0)$ be its stabilizer. Let $\mathcal{H}_K = \mathbb{Q}[K \setminus U(W_0)(F_0)/K]$ be the Hecke algebra with coefficients in \mathbb{Q} of $U(W_0)$. We recall the Satake isomorphism for $U(W_0)$. Let A be the maximal split diagonal torus in $U(W_0)$. Let $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. For $1 \leq s \leq m$, define

$$y_s := [\mu_s - \mu_{n+1-s}] + [\mu_{n+1-s} - \mu_s] = \frac{x_s}{x_{n+1-s}} + \frac{x_{n+1-s}}{x_s} \in \mathbb{C}[X_*(A)].$$

Let \mathfrak{s}_s be the degree *s* elementary symmetric polynomial in $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$. Then the Satake transform gives an isomorphism of algebras

(3.4.2) Sat:
$$\mathcal{H}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_m] = \mathbb{C}[A(F_0)/A(O_{F_0})]^{W_n},$$

where $W_n \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m \rtimes S_m$ is the Weyl group of $A(F_0)$ in $U(W_0)(F_0)$. In particular, \mathcal{H}_K is a polynomial algebra. Analogous to the case of GL_n (over F), the modulus function $\delta_B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ takes values in \mathbb{Q} , hence the homomorphism (3.4.2) is defined over \mathbb{Q} .

We have an algebra homomorphism, called the base change homomorphism,

$$(3.4.3) \qquad \qquad \mathrm{BC}: \mathcal{H}_{K'} \to \mathcal{H}_K, \quad \varphi \longmapsto \mathrm{BC}(\varphi).$$

The homomorphism BC is characterized by the identity

 $\operatorname{Sat}(\varphi)(\operatorname{bc}(\alpha)) = \operatorname{Sat}(\operatorname{BC}(\varphi))(\alpha).$

Here on the LHS appears the natural inclusion,

bc:
$$(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\text{unit}} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$$
.

Here $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\text{unit}}$ denotes the space of unitary parameters in \mathbb{C}^n ,

(3.4.4)
$$(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\text{unit}} = \{ \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \alpha_i \alpha_{n+1-i} = 1 \quad \forall i, \\ \text{and } \alpha_{m+1} = 1 \text{ if } n = 2m+1 \}.$$

We denote by the same symbol the induced homomorphism,

BC:
$$\mathbb{Q}[\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n^{\pm}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\mathfrak{s}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_m]$$

which induces be on the corresponding spectra. We obtain a commutative diagram

(3.4.5)
$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_{K'} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Sat}} \mathbb{Q}[\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n^{\pm}] \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}_K \xrightarrow{} & & & \mathbb{Q}[\mathfrak{s}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_m]. \end{array}$$

For example, we have

$$BC(\sigma_1) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{s}_1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \mathfrak{s}_1 + 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

3.5. Some explicit examples. In this subsection we make a digression to give some examples of Satake transforms and the base change homomorphism. Most of it will not be used later. We use for W_0 the Hermitian form on the standard vector space given by an anti-diagonal matrix.

For even t with $0 \le t \le n$, we introduce the functions

(3.5.1)
$$f^{[t]} := \mathbf{1}_{K\varpi^{(1^{t/2}, 0^{n-t}, (-1)^{t/2})}K} \in \mathcal{H}_K.$$

Note that these functions form a polynomial basis of \mathcal{H}_K . We wish to determine their Satake transforms.

We recall some results from [22]. Let $\hat{T} \subset \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the diagonal torus in the dual group of GL_n . Let $\chi(\rho_{n,s})$ be the restriction of the character of $\wedge^s Std \otimes \wedge^s Std^{\vee}$ to $\hat{T} \times \{\sigma\} \subset$ $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}(F/F_0)$, viewed as an element in $\mathbb{Z}[X^*(\hat{T})] = \mathbb{Z}[X_*(T)]$ (in fact it lies in $\mathbb{Z}[X_*(T)]^{W_n} = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{s}_m]).$ Here we refer to loc. cit. for the definition of the semi-direct product (defining the L-group of $U(W_0)$) and of the action of σ on the representation space. Then [22, Lem. B.2] (the latter is also [34, Lem. 9.2.4])

$$\chi(\rho_{n,s}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{[s/2]} \binom{m - (s - 2j)}{j} \mathfrak{s}_{s-2j}, & n \text{ even} \\ \\ \sum_{i=0}^{s} \binom{m - (s - i)}{[i/2]} \mathfrak{s}_{s-i}, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

 Set

$$[n]_q = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}, \quad [n]_q! = [n]_q[n - 1]_q \cdots [1]_q, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[m]_q![n - m]_q!}$$

The Satake transforms of $f^{[2s]}$, $1 \leq s \leq m$, are determined by the following identity in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{s}_m]$, cf. [22, Lem. 2.6],

$$q^{s(n-s)}\chi(\rho_{n,s}) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} {n-2i \brack s-i}_{-q} \operatorname{Sat}(f^{[2i]}), \quad 1 \le s \le m.$$

For completeness we also recall [22, Lem. B.1.3, B.1.4]:

$$\prod_{t=1}^{m} (\lambda + \lambda^{-1} + y_t) = \begin{cases} \chi(\rho_{n,m}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \chi(\rho_{n,m-i})(\lambda^i + \lambda^{-i}), & n \text{ even} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{m} \chi(\rho_{n,m-i}) \frac{(\lambda^{i+1} + \lambda^{-i})}{\lambda + 1}, & n \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$

as an identity of finite Laurent series in λ .

Example 3.5.1. Taking s = 1 we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} n\\1 \end{bmatrix}_{-q} \operatorname{Sat}(f^{[0]}) + \begin{bmatrix} n-2\\0 \end{bmatrix}_{-q} \operatorname{Sat}(f^{[2]}) = q^{n-1} \begin{cases} \mathfrak{s}_1, & n \text{ even}, \\ \mathfrak{s}_1+1, & n \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

Sat
$$(f^{[2]}) = -[n]_{-q} + q^{n-1} \begin{cases} \mathfrak{s}_1, & n \text{ even,} \\ \mathfrak{s}_1 + 1, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Taking s = 2 we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_{-q} \operatorname{Sat}(f^{[0]}) + \begin{bmatrix} n-2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{-q} \operatorname{Sat}(f^{[2]}) + \begin{bmatrix} n-4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{-q} \operatorname{Sat}(f^{[4]}) = q^{2(n-2)} \begin{cases} \mathfrak{s}_2 + m, & n \text{ even}, \\ \mathfrak{s}_2 + \mathfrak{s}_1 + m, & n \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

We also describe some explicit functions $\varphi'^{[t]}$ such that $BC(\varphi'^{[t]}) = f^{[t]}$ for even t. For $\varphi' \in \mathcal{H}_{K'}$, we view $\varphi'(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, where $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\text{unit}}$, as a symmetric polynomial

in $\alpha_i + \alpha_i^{-1}$ for $1 \le i \le m$, then the resulting polynomial is nothing but BC(φ'). For example,

$$\sigma_2(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \alpha_i \alpha_j = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le m} (\alpha_i + \alpha_i^{-1})(\alpha_j + \alpha_j^{-1}) + \sum_{1 \le i \le m} \alpha_i \alpha_i^{-1} (+ \sum_{1 \le i \le m} (\alpha_i + \alpha_i^{-1}) \text{ if } n \text{ is odd}).$$

Thus

$$BC(\sigma_2) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{s}_2 + m, & n \text{ even}, \\ \mathfrak{s}_2 + \mathfrak{s}_1 + m, & n \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

In general, for $1 \leq s \leq m$, we have

$$BC(\sigma_s) = \chi(\rho_{n,s}).$$

Thus $\{\operatorname{Sat}(f^{[2s]})\}\$ can be written as linear combination of $\{\operatorname{BC}(\sigma_s)\}\$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Sat}(f^{[0]}) \\ \operatorname{Sat}(f^{[2]}) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{Sat}(f^{[2m]}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{-q} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{-q} & \begin{bmatrix} n-2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{-q} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{-q} & \begin{bmatrix} n-2 \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix}_{-q} & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} n-2m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{-q} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ q^{n-1} \cdot \operatorname{BC}(\sigma_1) \\ \vdots \\ q^{m(n-m)} \cdot \operatorname{BC}(\sigma_m) \end{pmatrix}$$

3.6. The fundamental lemma, homogeneous version. We apply the preceding considerations to a split space W_0 of dimension n+1 and to $W_0^{\flat} = \langle u_0 \rangle^{\perp}$, where the special vector $u_0 \in \Xi$ has unit length. We denote by K^{\flat} the stabilizer of the selfdual lattice $\Xi^{\flat} := W_0^{\flat} \cap \Xi$. The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat} \times K}$ for $U(W_0^{\flat}) \times U(W_0)$ can be identified with the tensor product of algebras $\mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{H}_K$. The analogous facts hold for the triple (GL_n, GL_{n+1}, GL_n × GL_{n+1}) and the standard open compact subgroups ($K'^{\flat}, K', K'^{\flat} \times K'$). We use the same symbol BC for the analogous algebra homomorphisms. More precisely, we have

$$\mathrm{BC}_n:\mathcal{H}_{K'^{\flat}}\to\mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat}},\quad \mathrm{BC}_{n+1}:\mathcal{H}_{K'}\to\mathcal{H}_{K},\quad \mathrm{BC}=\mathrm{BC}_n\otimes\mathrm{BC}_{n+1}:\mathcal{H}_{K'^{\flat}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{H}_{K'}\to\mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathcal{H}_{K'}$$

We have the following fundamental lemma for the spherical Hecke algebra in the Jacquet-Rallis case. In it, we let W_1 be the non-split space of dimension n + 1 and $W_1^{\flat} = \langle u_1 \rangle^{\perp}$, where the special vector u_1 has unit length. Also, we have chosen bases of W_0 and W_1 as usual.

Theorem 3.6.1 (FL for the spherical Hecke algebra (homogeneous version) (Leslie [19])). Let $\varphi' \in \mathcal{H}_{K'^{b}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{K'}$. Then

$$(\mathrm{BC}(\varphi'), 0) \in C_c^{\infty}(G_{W_0}) \times C_c^{\infty}(G_{W_1})$$

is a transfer of φ' .

3.7. The fundamental lemma, inhomogeneous version. Recall the action of GL_n on $S = S_n$, cf. (3.1.3) (except that here *n* replaces n + 1). Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{K'_{S_n}} = \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(S_n(F_0))^{K'_n}$$

Note that this is a module over the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{K'_n} = \mathcal{H}_{K'_n}(\mathrm{GL}_n)$. We obtain a map

(3.7.1)
$$\operatorname{act}: \mathcal{H}_{K'_n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{K'_{S_n}}, \quad f' \longmapsto f' * \mathbf{1}_{K'_{S_n}}.$$

Here $\mathbf{1}_{K'_{S_n}}$ denotes the characteristic function of $K'_{S_n} = K'_n \cdot 1$.

There is an alternative description. Let $r: G' \to S_n$ be the map $g \mapsto g\overline{g}^{-1}$. We also have the map induced by integration on the fibers

which sends f' to f'^{\natural} defined by

$$f^{'\natural}(g\overline{g}^{-1}) = \int_{\operatorname{GL}_n(F_0)} f^{\prime}(gh) \, dh.$$

Here we choose the Haar measure on $\operatorname{GL}_n(F_0)$ such that $\operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{GL}_n(O_{F_0})) = 1$. Then it is easy to check that the two maps (3.7.1) and (3.7.2) coincide, cf. [19, Lem. 3.3].

Using a theorem of Offen [25], Leslie shows that both maps factor through the base change homomorphism $BC = BC_n : \mathcal{H}_{K'_n}(GL_n) \to \mathcal{H}_K$ and induce an isomorphism with the Hecke algebra for the quasi-split unitary group,

cf. [19, Cor. 3.5]. We thus have the following commutative diagram,

(3.7.4)
$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_{K'_n} \\ r_* \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}_{K'_{S_n}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_K \\ \end{array}$$

For the inhomogeneous FL we need a twist by the algebra automorphism $\eta_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H}_{K'_n} \to \mathcal{H}_{K'_n}$ defined by $f \mapsto f\tilde{\eta}$ where $\tilde{\eta}(g) = (-1)^{v(\det(g))}$ is our fixed extension of the character η . In terms of the Satake isomorphism (3.4.1), this automorphism translates to the map $x_i \mapsto -x_i$. In particular, this shows that the map $\eta_{\mathcal{H}}$ is an algebra homomorphism. Via the Satake isomorphism we see that the involution $\eta_{\mathcal{H}}$ descends to \mathcal{H}_K , which we also denote by $\eta_{\mathcal{H}}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_{K'_n} \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{H}}} \mathcal{H}_{K'_n} \\ \mathbb{BC}_n \\ \mathcal{H}_K \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{H}}} \mathcal{H}_K \\ \mathcal{H}_K \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{H}}} \mathcal{H}_K \end{array}$$

Let $i \ge 0$. We introduce the η^i -twisted version of (3.7.3) by

(3.7.5)
$$\mathrm{BC}_{S_n}^{\eta^i} = \eta^i_{\mathcal{H}} \circ \mathrm{BC}_{S_n} : \mathcal{H}_{K'_S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_K$$

where $\eta_{\mathcal{H}}^i$ is the *i*-fold iterate of the automorphism $\eta_{\mathcal{H}}$. To have a diagram similar to (3.7.4), we introduce the η^i -twist of r_* :

$$(3.7.6) r_*^{\eta^i} = r_* \circ \eta^i_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Explicitly, we have

(3.7.7)
$$r_*^{\eta^i}(f')(g\overline{g}^{-1}) = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F_0)} f'(gh) \widetilde{\eta}^i(gh) \, dh.$$

Then we have a commutative diagram

(3.7.8)
$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_{K'_n} \\ r_*^{\eta^i} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}_{K'_{S_n}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ \mathcal{H}_{K'_{S_n}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ \mathcal{H}_{K'_{S_n}} \mathcal{H}_{K} \end{array}$$

There is then the following inhomogeneous version of the fundamental lemma for the spherical Hecke algebra in the Jacquet-Rallis case. Here we recall that W_0 denotes the split hermitian space of dimension n + 1 and W_1 the non-split space (therefore there is a shift of dimensions compared to above).

Theorem 3.7.1 (FL for the spherical Hecke algebra (inhomogeneous version) (Leslie [19])). Let $\varphi' \in \mathcal{H}_{K'_{S_{n+1}}}$. Then³

$$(\mathrm{BC}_{S_{n+1}}^{\eta^n}(\varphi'), 0) \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathrm{U}(W_0)) \times C_c^{\infty}(\mathrm{U}(W_1))$$

is a transfer of φ' .

The inhomogeneous version is equivalent to the special case of the homogeneous version when the factor on \mathcal{H}_{K_n} is the identity $\mathbf{1}_{K'_n}$. To see this, we compare orbital integrals: the homogeneous version defined by (3.2.1) and the inhomogeneous one defined by (3.2.3). The following easy lemma is a combination of [28, Lem. 5.7] and [29, Lem. 14.7 (iii)].

Lemma 3.7.2. Let $\varphi' \in \mathcal{H}_{K'^{\flat}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{K'}$ be of the form $\mathbf{1}_{K'^{\flat}} \otimes f'$ with $f' \in \mathcal{H}_{K'}$. Then we have, for $\gamma \in S_{n+1}(F_0)_{rs}$ with $\gamma = r(g) = g\overline{g}^{-1}$ with $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(F)$,

$$\operatorname{Orb}((1,g),\mathbf{1}_{K'^{\flat}}\otimes f',s) = \widetilde{\eta}^{-n}(g)\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,r_*^{\eta^n}(f'),2s).$$

Moreover, for $g = (g_1, g_2) \in G'(F_0)_{rs}$ and $\gamma = r(g_1^{-1}g_2) \in S(F_0)_{rs}$, we have

$$\omega_{G'}(g)\operatorname{Orb}(g,\mathbf{1}_{K'^{\flat}}\otimes f') = \omega_S(\gamma)\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,r_*^{\eta^n}(f')),$$

³Note that in [19], the twist η^n is erroneously omitted.

and when $\operatorname{Orb}(g, \mathbf{1}_{K'^{\flat}} \otimes f') = 0$, we have

$$\omega_{G'}(g)\,\partial \mathrm{Orb}\big(g,\mathbf{1}_{K'^{\flat}}\otimes f'\big)=2\omega_{S}(\gamma)\,\partial \mathrm{Orb}(\gamma,r_{*}^{\eta^{n}}(f')).$$

Proof. By definition of the orbital integral (3.2.1), we have

$$\operatorname{Orb}((1,g),\varphi',s) = \int_{H'_{1,2}(F_0)} \varphi'(h_1^{-1}h'_2,h_1^{-1}gh''_2) |\det h_1|_F^s \eta(h_2) \, dh_1 \, dh'_2 \, dh''_2,$$

where $h_1 \in H'_1(F_0) = \operatorname{GL}_{n-1}(F)$ and $h_2 = (h'_2, h''_2) \in H'_2(F_0) = \operatorname{GL}_n(F_0) \times \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(F_0)$. Here $|\cdot|_F$ is the normalized absolute value on F. Also $\eta(h_2) = \eta^{n-1}(\det h'_2)\eta^n(\det h''_2)$. Replacing h_1 by h'_2h_1 , we have

$$\operatorname{Orb}((1,g),\varphi',s) = \int_{H'_{1,2}(F_0)} \varphi'(h_1^{-1},h_1^{-1}(h'_2)^{-1}gh''_2) |\det(h'_2h_1)|_F^s \eta(h_2) \, dh_1 \, dh'_2 \, dh''_2.$$

Now we specialize to $\varphi' = \mathbf{1}_{K'^{\flat}} \otimes f'$. Then the above equation simplifies to

$$\operatorname{Orb}((1,g),\varphi',s) = \int_{H_2'(F_0)} f'((h_2')^{-1}gh_2'') |\det(h_2')|_{F_0}^{2s} \eta(h_2) \, dh_2' \, dh_2''$$

Here we have used $|a|_F = |a|_{F_0}^2$ for $a \in F_0^{\times}$ and this results in the extra factor 2 in the exponent. To apply the definition of $r_*^{\eta^n}$, we rewrite it as

$$\operatorname{Orb}((1,g),\varphi',s) = \tilde{\eta}^{-n}(g) \int_{H_2'(F_0)} f'((h_2')^{-1}gh_2'') |\det(h_2')|_{F_0}^{2s} \eta(h_2')\tilde{\eta}^n((h_2')^{-1}gh_2'') dh_2' dh_2''.$$

We integrate over $h_2'' \in \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(F_0)$ to obtain

$$\operatorname{Orb}((1,g),\varphi',s) = \tilde{\eta}^{-n}(g) \int_{H_2'(F_0)} r_*^{\eta^n}(f') ((h_2')^{-1}(g\overline{g}^-)h_2') |\det(h_2')|_{F_0}^{2s} \eta(h_2') dh_2'.$$

A direct comparison with (3.2.3) completes the proof of the first identity.

For the other identities, we compare the transfer factors on G' and S. In fact, by their definitions in [29, §2.4] and noting that $\tilde{\eta}$ is of order two by our choice, we see that

$$\omega_{G'}(g) = \widetilde{\eta}(g_1^{-1}g_2)^n \omega_S(r(g_1^{-1}g_2)).$$

Note that our n + 1 corresponds to n in loc. cit. The desired identities follow immediately.

4. An alternative basis of \mathcal{H}_K

4.1. An alternative basis of \mathcal{H}_K . We again denote by W_0 a split Hermitian space of dimension n. Let $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Fix Ξ_0 a self-dual lattice in W_0 and let $K = K_0$ be the stabilizer of Ξ_0 . Recall that a vertex lattice in W_0 is a lattice Λ such that $\Lambda \subset \Lambda^{\vee} \subset \varpi^{-1}\Lambda$. Here Λ^{\vee} is the dual lattice of Λ . The dimension $t = \Lambda^{\vee}/\Lambda$ is called the type of Λ . It is an even integer with $0 \leq t \leq n$. We fix a maximal chain of vertex lattices

$$\Xi_0 \supset \Xi_2 \supset \cdots \supset \Xi_{2m},$$

where Ξ_t is a type t vertex lattice, for every even integer $0 \le t \le n$. Let $K_t \subset U(W_0)$ be the stabilizer of Ξ_t , a special maximal parahoric subgroup. Set

(4.1.1)
$$\varphi_{[t,t']} = \mathbf{1}_{K_t K_{t'}} \in \mathcal{H}(K_t \setminus U(W_0)/K_{t'}),$$

which will be called the *intertwining function* for the level $K_t, K_{t'}$. (See [22, Definition B.2.3] for the special case t = t' = 2m.) Note that $\mathbf{1}_{K_tK_{t'}}$ is the characteristic function of a subset of $U(W_0)$ that is not a subgroup, unless $K_t = K_{t'}$.

Note that a function $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(K \setminus G/K')$ induces a natural map $C_c^{\infty}(G/K) \to C_c^{\infty}(G/K')$ which determines the function uniquely. Explicitly, a given φ sends $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G/K)$ to $f * \varphi$, which lies in $C_c^{\infty}(G/K')$. Similarly, a correspondence between the two sets G/K and G/K'also induces a natural map $C_c^{\infty}(G/K) \to C_c^{\infty}(G/K')$. In this way we will freely switch between functions and correspondences. We can identify the function $\varphi_{[t,t']}$ in terms of "Hecke correspondences of moduli spaces of vertex lattices", as follows. Let

$$\mathbb{N}^{[t]} = \mathbb{N}^{[t]}_W = \{\Lambda \subset W_0 \mid \Lambda \text{ is a vertex lattice of type } t\}.$$

Note that there is the diagonal action of $U(W_0)$ on $\mathbb{N}^{[t]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[t']}$. Then $\mathcal{H}(K_t \setminus U(W_0)/K_{t'})$ can be identified with the space of functions on $\mathbb{N}^{[t]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[t']}$ which are invariant under $U(W_0)$ and have compact support modulo this action.

We also introduce

$$\mathbb{N}^{[t,t']} = \begin{cases} \{(\Lambda_t, \Lambda_{t'}) \in \mathbb{N}^{[t]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[t']} \mid \Lambda_t \subset \Lambda_{t'}\} & \text{if } t' \leq t \\ \{(\Lambda_t, \Lambda_{t'}) \in \mathbb{N}^{[t]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[t']} \mid \Lambda_{t'} \subset \Lambda_t\} & \text{if } t \leq t'. \end{cases}$$

We obtain a diagram,



or, equivalently, the map

$$\pi\colon \mathbb{N}^{[t,t']} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{[t]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[t']}.$$

Then

(4.1.3)
$$\varphi_{[t,t']} = \pi_*(\operatorname{char}_{\mathbb{N}^{[t,t']}}).$$

Note that $\varphi_{[t,t']}$ does not lie in the spherical Hecke algebra. To obtain functions in \mathcal{H}_K , we use convolution.

Definition 4.1.1. The atomic function associated to an even integer t with $0 \le t \le n$ is the following element in the spherical Hecke algebra,

(4.1.4)
$$\varphi_t = \varphi_{[0,t]} * \varphi_{[t,0]} = \mathbf{1}_{K_0 K_t} * \mathbf{1}_{K_t K_0} \in \mathcal{H}_K$$

Consider the composite of correspondences,

$$\mathbb{T}_{\leq t} := \mathbb{N}^{[0,t]} \circ \mathbb{N}^{[t,0]} = \{ (\Lambda_0, \Lambda_t, \Lambda'_0) \in \mathbb{N}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[t]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[0]} \mid \Lambda_t \subset \Lambda_0 \cap \Lambda'_0 \}.$$

We obtain a diagram with a cartesian square,



Consider

$$\pi: \mathbb{T}_{< t} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[0]}$$

Then, just as in (4.1.3),

(4.1.6) $\varphi_t = \pi_*(\operatorname{char}_{\mathbb{T}_{<t}}).$

Remark 4.1.2. As is well-known, the spherical Hecke algebra is commutative. In particular, $\varphi_t * \varphi_{t'} = \varphi_t * \varphi_{t'}$. Comparing the supports of these two functions, we get the equality of the following two subsets of $\mathbb{N}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[0]}$.

We say that two selfdual lattices Λ_1 and Λ_2 are related by a correspondence of type t, denoted $\Lambda_1 \iff^t \Lambda_2$, if there exists a vertex lattice M of type t contained in $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$. In other words, $(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \in \pi(\mathbb{T}_{\leq t})$. The two sets in question are

(4.1.7)
$$\{(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \mid \exists \Lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{[0]} \text{ with } \Lambda_1 \iff^t \Lambda \text{ and } \Lambda \iff^{t'} \Lambda_2\}.$$
$$\{(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \mid \exists \Lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{[0]} \text{ with } \Lambda_1 \iff^{t'} \Lambda \text{ and } \Lambda \iff^t \Lambda_2\}.$$

Here is an elementary proof of the equality of these two sets that was indicated to us by B. Howard. It is based on Gelfand's trick of proving the commutativity of the Hecke algebra by constructing an anti-automorphism of $U(W_0)$ inducing the identity on $K \setminus U(W_0)/K$. Fix a basis of W_0 such that the hermitian form is given by the antidiagonal unit matrix J, and let Ξ_0 be the standard lattice. Define the anti-automorphism τ of $U(W_0)$ by $\tau(g) = J\sigma(g^{-1})J$. Then τ induces the identity on $A(F_0)$ and hence induces the identity on \mathcal{H}_K (Cartan decomposition). On the other hand, one easily checks that under the identification $K \setminus U(W_0)/K =$ $U(W_0) \setminus (\mathbb{N}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[0]})$, the map τ is given by $(\Lambda_0, \Lambda'_0) \mapsto (\sigma(\Lambda'_0), \sigma(\Lambda_0))$. It follows that for any $(\Lambda_0, \Lambda'_0) \in \mathbb{N}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[0]}$ there exists $\gamma \in U(W_0)(F_0)$ such that $(\sigma(\Lambda'_0), \sigma(\Lambda_0)) = \gamma(\Lambda_0, \Lambda'_0)$. Let us now check the claim. By symmetry, it suffices to show that the first of the two sets in (4.1.7) is contained in the second. Let (Λ_1, Λ_2) be in the first set, i.e., there is $\Lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{[0]}$ with $\Lambda_1 \iff^t \sigma(\Lambda_1)$. It follows that (Λ_1, Λ_2) is in the second set, with intermediary lattice $\Lambda' = \sigma(\gamma\Lambda)$. Besides $\mathbb{T}_{\leq t}$, we also consider

$$\mathbb{T}_t = \{ (\Lambda_0, \Lambda'_0) \in \mathbb{N}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[0]} \mid \Lambda_0 \cap \Lambda'_0 \text{ is a vertex lattice of type } t \} \\ = \{ (\Lambda_0, \Lambda_t, \Lambda'_0) \in \mathbb{N}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[t]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[0]} \mid \Lambda_t = \Lambda_0 \cap \Lambda'_0 \},$$

with its natural map

$$\pi: \mathbb{T}_t \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[0]}.$$

Recall
$$f^{[t]} := \mathbf{1}_{K\varpi^{(1^{t/2}, 0^{n-2t}, (-1)^{t/2})}K}$$
, cf. (3.5.1). Then

$$(4.1.8) f^{[t]} = \pi_*(\operatorname{char}_{\mathbb{T}_t}).$$

Proposition 4.1.3. The spherical Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_K is a polynomial algebra in the atomic functions φ_t , as t runs through all even integers $0 \le t \le n$,

$$\mathcal{H}_K = \mathbb{Q}[\varphi_2, \varphi_4, \cdots, \varphi_{2m}]$$

More precisely, the elements $\{\varphi_t\}_{0 < t \le n, t \equiv 0 \mod 2}$ are expressed as a linear combination of $\{f^{[t]}\}_{0 < t \le n, t \equiv 0 \mod 2}$ by an upper-triangular matrix with all diagonal entries equal to one.

Proof. From the "moduli interpretation", we can partition $\mathbb{T}_{\leq t}$ into a disjoint union

$$\mathbb{T}_{\leq t} = \coprod_{\substack{t' \leq t, \\ t' \equiv 0 \mod 2}} \mathbb{T}_{\leq t}^{[t']},$$

where

$$\mathbb{T}_{\leq t}^{[t']} = \{ (\Lambda_0, \Lambda_t, \Lambda_0') \in \mathbb{T}_{\leq t} \mid \Lambda_0 \cap \Lambda_0' \text{ has type } t' \}.$$

It follows that

(4.1.9)
$$\varphi_t = \sum_{\substack{t' \le t, \\ t' \equiv 0 \mod 2}} m(t', t) f^{[t]}$$

where m(t', t) is the number of vertex lattices of type t contained in a given vertex lattice of type t',

$$m(t',t) = \#\{\Lambda_t \in \mathbb{N}^{[t]} \mid \Lambda_t \subset \Lambda_{t'}\}.$$

That is, m(t',t) equals the (constant) degree of the fiber of the natural projection map $\mathbb{N}^{[t,t']} \to \mathbb{N}^{[t']}$. Clearly

$$m(t,t) = 1.$$

This shows that the basis $\{\varphi_t\}_{0 \le t \le n, t \equiv 0 \mod 2}$ differs from $\{f^{[t]}\}_{0 \le t \le n, t \equiv 0 \mod 2}$ by an upper-triangular matrix with all diagonal entries equal to one. This implies the desired assertion.

Remark 4.1.4. One can determine the coefficients m(t', t) explicitly. See [22, Lem. B.2.4] for the formula expressing φ_{2m} in terms of the $f^{[t]}$.

Definition 4.1.5. We call an element $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_K$ monomial if it can be expressed as a monomial in the atomic functions $\{\varphi_2, \varphi_4, \cdots, \varphi_{2m}\}$ (in a necessarily unique way).

It is clear that an arbitrary element $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_K$ can be expressed as a linear combination of monomial elements (in a unique way).

4.2. The product situation. We also apply the preceding considerations to a product situation. Let W_0 be a split space of dimension n + 1, and let $W_0^{\flat} = \langle u \rangle^{\perp}$, where the special vector $u \in W_0$ has unit length. Then $W_0^{\flat} \oplus W_0$ is also a split space. The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat} \times K}$ for $U(W_0^{\flat}) \times U(W_0)$ can be identified with the tensor product of algebras $\mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_K$. By an atomic, resp. a monomial, element in $\mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat} \times K}$ we mean a pure tensor $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi^{\flat} \otimes \varphi$, where either φ^{\flat} is atomic, resp. monomial, and φ is the unit element, or φ is atomic, resp. monomial, and φ^{\flat} is the unit element in $\mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat} \times K}$ is a product of atomic elements, and this in a unique way. Any element in $\mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat} \times K}$ is a linear combination of monomial elements in a unique way.

Let $\varphi_{t,t'} = \varphi_t \otimes \varphi_{t'}$ be an atomic function. Hence either t = 0 or t' = 0. Then

(4.2.1)
$$\varphi_{t,t'} = \pi_*(\operatorname{char}_{\mathbb{N}_{W^{\flat}, \leq t} \times \mathbb{N}_{W, \leq t'}}).$$

Here we use the diagram

(4.2.2)
$$\mathbb{N}_{W^{\flat},\leq t}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}_{W}^{[0]} \qquad \mathbb{N}_{W^{\flat}}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}_{W}^{[0]} \qquad \mathbb{N}_{W^{\flat}}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}_{W}^{[0]}$$

and the corresponding map

$$\pi \colon \mathbb{N}_{W^{\flat}, \leq t} \times \mathbb{N}_{W, \leq t'} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{N}_{W^{\flat}}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}_{W}^{[0]}) \times (\mathbb{N}_{W^{\flat}}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}_{W}^{[0]}).$$

The following lemma holds for all functions $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(G_{W_0})$ (and is used earlier in the definition of transfer). We include a proof for the special case of functions in the Hecke algebra, in order to illustrate the idea that will be used in the proof of Proposition 6.1.1.

Lemma 4.2.1. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{K}$. Then $\operatorname{Orb}(g, \varphi)$ is finite for every $g \in G_W(F)_{rs}$.

Proof. It suffices to consider monomial functions and elements of the form (1, g) with $g \in U(W_0)_{rs}$. We can bound φ by a constant multiple of a function of the following form

$$\Phi_N = \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{U}(W_0^{\flat}) \cap \varpi^{-N} \operatorname{End}_{O_F}(\Xi_0^{\flat})} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{U}(W_0) \cap \varpi^{-N} \operatorname{End}_{O_F}(\Xi_0)}$$

for some large integer N, and hence it suffices to consider such functions Φ_N . In terms of lattice counting, we need to show the finiteness of pairs of self-dual lattices

$$(\Lambda^{\flat}, \Lambda), \quad (\Lambda'^{\flat}, \Lambda')$$

such that $\Lambda = \Lambda^{\flat} \oplus \langle u \rangle, \Lambda' = \Lambda'^{\flat} \oplus \langle u \rangle$, and $\Lambda^{\flat} \subset \varpi^{-N} \Lambda'^{\flat}$, and $\Lambda \subset \varpi^{-N} g \Lambda'$. Note that Λ^{\flat} (resp. Λ'^{\flat}) is determined by Λ (resp. Λ'). The self-duality also implies $\Lambda^{\flat} \supset \varpi^{N} \Lambda'^{\flat}$ and $\Lambda \supset \varpi^{N} g \Lambda'$.

We claim that $\varpi^{(2i-2)N}g^{i-1}u \in \Lambda'$ and $\varpi^{(2i-1)N}g^iu \in \Lambda$ for every $i \geq 1$. To show the claim it suffices to show

(1) $u \in \Lambda'$, (2) $\varpi^{(2i-2)N}g^{i-1}u \in \Lambda' \Longrightarrow \varpi^{(2i-1)N}g^{i}u \in \Lambda$ for every $i \ge 1$, (3) $\varpi^{(2i-1)N}g^{i}u \in \Lambda \Longrightarrow \varpi^{2iN}g^{i}u \in \Lambda'$ for every $i \ge 1$. Part (1): clear.

Part (2): if $\varpi^{(2i-2)N}g^{i-1}u \in \Lambda'$ then, from $\Lambda \supset \varpi^N g \Lambda'$, it follows that $\varpi^N g(\varpi^{(2i-2)N}g^{i-1}u) = \varpi^{(2i-1)N}g^i u \in \Lambda$.

Part (3): if $\varpi^{(2i-1)N}g^i u \in \Lambda$ then, from $\Lambda^{\flat} \subset \varpi^{-N}\Lambda'^{\flat}$, it follows that $\Lambda' \supset \varpi^N \Lambda$ and hence $\varpi^N(\varpi^{(2i-1)N}g^i u) = \varpi^{2iN}g^i u \in \Lambda'$.

From the claim it follows that Λ contains the lattice $\varpi^{(2n-1)N}\langle u, gu, \ldots, g^n u \rangle$, which has full rank by the regular semisimplicity of g, cf. [28, §2.4]. This shows the finiteness of possible Λ , and hence of Λ' . This completes the proof.

5. RZ SPACES AND THEIR HECKE CORRESPONDENCES

In this section we define various Rapoport–Zink spaces (RZ spaces) with certain parahoric levels, and use them to define Hecke correspondences.

5.1. Rapoport–Zink spaces \mathcal{N}_n of self-dual level. Let S be a Spf $O_{\check{F}}$ -scheme. Consider a triple (X, ι, λ) where

(i) X is a formal ϖ -divisible O_{F_0} -module over S of relative height 2n and dimension n,

(ii) $\iota : O_F \to \operatorname{End}(X)$ is an action of O_F extending the O_{F_0} -action and satisfying the Kottwitz condition of signature (1, n - 1): for all $a \in O_F$, the characteristic polynomial of $\iota(a)$ on Lie X is equal to $(T - a)(T - \sigma(a))^{n-1} \in \mathcal{O}_S[T]$,

(iii) $\lambda : X \to X^{\vee}$ is a principal polarization on X whose Rosati involution induces the automorphism σ on O_F via ι .

Up to O_F -linear quasi-isogeny compatible with polarizations, there is a unique such triple $(\mathbb{X}, \iota_{\mathbb{X}}, \lambda_{\mathbb{X}})$ over $S = \operatorname{Spec} \bar{k}$. Let $\mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{N}_{F/F_0,n}$ be the (relative) unitary Rapoport-Zink space of self-dual level, which is a formal scheme over $\operatorname{Spf} O_{\check{F}}$ representing the functor sending each S to the set of isomorphism classes of tuples $(X, \iota, \lambda, \rho)$, where the framing $\rho: X \times_S \bar{S} \to \mathbb{X} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \bar{k}} \bar{S}$ is an O_F -linear quasi-isogeny of height 0 such that $\rho^*((\lambda_{\mathbb{X}})_{\bar{S}}) = \lambda_{\bar{S}}$. Here $\bar{S} \coloneqq S_{\bar{k}}$ is the special fiber.

The Rapoport–Zink space \mathcal{N}_n is formally locally of finite type and formally smooth of relative dimension n-1 over Spf $O_{\breve{F}}$ ([30], [23, Prop. 1.3]).

5.2. The hermitian space \mathbb{V}_n . Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Let \mathbb{E} be the formal O_{F_0} -module of relative height 2 and dimension 1 over Spec \bar{k} . Then $D := \operatorname{End}_{O_{F_0}}^{\circ}(\mathbb{E}) := \operatorname{End}_{O_{F_0}}(\mathbb{E}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the quaternion division algebra over F_0 . We fix an F_0 -embedding $\iota_{\mathbb{E}} : F \to D$, which makes \mathbb{E} into a formal O_F -module of relative height 1. We fix an O_{F_0} -linear principal polarization $\lambda_{\mathbb{E}} : \mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{E}^{\vee}$. Then $(\mathbb{E}, \iota_{\mathbb{E}}, \lambda_{\mathbb{E}})$ is a hermitian O_F -module of signature (1, 0). We have $\mathcal{N}_1 \simeq \operatorname{Spf} O_{\check{F}}$ and there is a unique lifting (*the canonical lifting*) \mathcal{E} of the formal O_F -module \mathbb{E} over $\operatorname{Spf} O_{\check{F}}$, equipped with its O_F -action $\iota_{\mathcal{E}}$, its framing $\rho_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E}_{\bar{k}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{E}$, and its principal polarization $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}$ lifting $\rho_{\mathcal{E}}^*(\lambda_{\mathbb{E}})$. Define $\overline{\mathbb{E}}$ to be the same O_{F_0} -module as \mathbb{E} but with O_F -action given by $\iota_{\overline{\mathbb{E}}} \coloneqq \iota_{\mathbb{E}} \circ \sigma$, and $\lambda_{\overline{\mathbb{E}}} \coloneqq \lambda_{\mathbb{E}}$, and similarly define $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\lambda_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}$.

Denote by $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}_n := \operatorname{Hom}_{O_F}^{\circ}(\overline{\mathbb{E}}, \mathbb{X})$ the space of special quasi-homomorphisms. Then \mathbb{V} carries a F/F_0 -hermitian form: for $x, y \in \mathbb{V}$, the pairing $(x, y) \in F$ is given by the composition

$$(\overline{\mathbb{E}} \xrightarrow{x} \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{\mathbb{X}}} \mathbb{X}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{y^{\vee}} \overline{\mathbb{E}}^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{\mathbb{E}}^{-1}} \overline{\mathbb{E}}) \in \operatorname{End}_{O_{F}}^{\circ}(\overline{\mathbb{E}}) = \iota_{\overline{\mathbb{E}}}(F) \simeq F.$$

The hermitian space \mathbb{V} is the unique (up to isomorphism) non-degenerate non-split F/F_0 hermitian space of dimension n. The unitary group $\mathrm{U}(\mathbb{V})(F_0)$ acts on the framing hermitian O_F -module $(\mathbb{X}, \iota_{\mathbb{X}}, \lambda_{\mathbb{X}})$ (via the identification in [17, Lem. 3.9]) and hence acts on the Rapoport–Zink space \mathcal{N}_n via $g(X, \iota, \lambda, \rho) = (X, \iota, \lambda, g \circ \rho)$ for $g \in \mathrm{U}(\mathbb{V})(F_0)$.

To a non-zero $u \in \mathbb{V}$, there is the associated special divisor $\mathcal{Z}(u)$ on \mathcal{N}_n . It is the closed formal subscheme of \mathcal{N}_n of points $(X, \iota, \lambda, \rho)$ where the quasi-homomorphism $u : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{X}$ lifts to a homomorphism $\mathcal{E} \to X$, cf. [17]. More generally, for any finitely generated subgroup $L \subset \mathbb{V}$, there is the associated *special cycle* $\mathcal{Z}(L)$, the locus where the quasi-homomorphisms $u : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{X}$ lift to homomorphisms $\mathcal{E} \to X$, for all $u \in L$.

5.3. **RZ** spaces $\mathcal{N}_n^{[t]}$. Let t be an even integer with $0 \leq t \leq n$. Now we consider triples (Y, ι_Y, λ_Y) as in subsection 5.1 (with Y instead of X), except that we replace the condition on the polarization to be principal by the condition that the polarization is of degree q^{2t} and satisfies ker $(\lambda_Y) \subset Y[\varpi]$. Again, we fix a framing object $(\mathbb{X}_t, \iota_{\mathbb{X}_t}, \lambda_{\mathbb{X}_t})$ over Spec \bar{k} (which is again unique up to O_F -linear quasi-isogeny compatible with polarizations). We define the RZ-space $\mathcal{N}_n^{[t]}$ as the space of tuples $(Y, \iota_Y, \lambda_Y, \rho_Y)$, where ρ_Y is a framing with $(\mathbb{X}_t, \iota_{\mathbb{X}_t}, \lambda_{\mathbb{X}_t})$. It is an RZ space of level equal to the stabilizer of a vertex lattice of type t. Note that $\mathcal{N}_n^{[0]} = \mathcal{N}_n$. The Rapoport–Zink space $\mathcal{N}_n^{[t]}$ is formally locally of finite type and regular of dimension n with semi-stable reduction over Spf $O_{\check{F}}$ ([30], [12]).

5.4. **RZ spaces** $\mathcal{N}_n^{[t,t']}$. Let t, t' be even integers. We introduce the RZ-space $\mathcal{N}_n^{[t,t']}$. Let first $t' \leq t$. We fix a O_{F_0} -linear isogeny of degree $q^{t-t'}$ with kernel killed by ϖ ,

(5.4.1)
$$\varphi_{t,t'} \colon \mathbb{X}_t \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{t'}$$

such that $\varphi^*(\lambda_{\mathbb{X}_{t'}}) = \lambda_{\mathbb{X}_t}$. Then $\mathcal{N}_n^{[t,t']}$ classifies triples

$$((X_1,\iota_1,\lambda_1,\rho_1),(X_2,\iota_2,\lambda_2,\rho_2),\varphi:X_1\longrightarrow X_2),$$

where $(X_1, \iota_1, \lambda_1, \rho_1) \in \mathcal{N}^{[t]}$ and $(X_2, \iota_2, \lambda_2, \rho_2) \in \mathcal{N}^{[t']}$, and where φ is an isogeny lifting $\varphi_{t,t'} \colon \mathbb{X}_t \to \mathbb{X}_{t'}$. Then φ is uniquely determined, is of degree $q^{t-t'}$ and satisfies ker $\varphi \subset X_1[\varpi]$; also, φ preserves the polarizations.

When $t \leq t'$, we define $\mathcal{N}_n^{[t,t']}$ to be the transpose ${}^t\mathcal{N}_n^{[t',t]}$ of $\mathcal{N}_n^{[t',t]}$, i.e., $\mathcal{N}_n^{[t,t']}$ classifies triples

$$((X_1,\iota_1,\lambda_1,\rho_1),(X_2,\iota_2,\lambda_2,\rho_2),\varphi:X_2\longrightarrow X_1),$$

where $(X_1, \iota_1, \lambda_1, \rho_1) \in \mathcal{N}^{[t]}$ and $(X_2, \iota_2, \lambda_2, \rho_2) \in \mathcal{N}^{[t']}$, and where φ is an isogeny lifting $\varphi_{t',t} \colon \mathbb{X}_{t'} \to \mathbb{X}_t$. Only the cases (t, t') = (0, t') and (t, t') = (t, 0) will be effectively used in this paper. We view $\mathcal{N}_n^{[t,t']}$ as a correspondence via the two natural projections, which is analogous to the corresponding diagram (4.1.2) of lattice correspondences,



The two projection maps are both proper (representable by a projective morphism). Note that $\mathcal{N}_n^{[t,t']}$ is an RZ space of level equal to the joint stabilizer of two vertex lattices of type t, t'. It is formally locally of finite type and regular of dimension n with semi-stable reduction over Spf $O_{\breve{F}}$ ([30], [12]).

5.5. The Hecke correspondence $\mathcal{T}_n^{\leq t}$. Define $\mathcal{T}_n^{\leq t}$ by

$$\left((Y,\iota_Y,\lambda_Y,\rho_Y),(X_1,\iota_1,\lambda_1,\rho_1),(X_2,\iota_2,\lambda_2,\rho_2),\varphi_i:Y\longrightarrow X_i,i=1,2\right)$$

such that $(Y, X_i, \varphi_i) \in \mathcal{N}_n^{[t,0]}$. In other words, $\mathcal{T}_n^{\leq t}$ is the composition of correspondences,

$$\mathcal{T}_n^{\leq t} = \mathcal{N}_n^{[0,t]} \circ \mathcal{N}_n^{[t,0]},$$

cf. subsection 9.2. Explicitly, this means that we obtain the following diagram with a cartesian square in the middle,



Note that all formal schemes in the lower two rows are regular and the maps are relatively representable by morphisms of projective schemes. Using the calculus in the appendix, we may therefore define for closed formal subschemes $A \subset \mathcal{N}_n^{[0]}$, resp. $B \subset \mathcal{N}_n^{[t]}$,

$$\mathbb{T}_t^{A,+} = (\mathcal{N}_n^{[0,t]})_* \colon K^A(\mathcal{N}_n^{[0]}) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{N}_n^{[0,t]}(A)}(\mathcal{N}_n^{[t]}), \quad \mathbb{T}_t^{B,-} = (\mathcal{N}_n^{[t,0]})_* \colon K^B(\mathcal{N}_n^{[t]}) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{N}_n^{[t,0]}(B)}(\mathcal{N}_n^{[0]})$$

This defines the map

(5.5.2)
$$\mathbb{T}_t^A = \mathbb{T}_t^{\mathcal{N}_n^{[0,t]}(A),-} \circ \mathbb{T}_t^{A,+} \colon K^A(\mathcal{N}_n) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{T}^{\leq t}(A)}(\mathcal{N}_n).$$

Recall here that $\mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{N}_n^{[0]}$.

We state the following conjecture. Note that this conjecture is empty for n = 2 and n = 3.

Conjecture 5.5.1. Let $t \neq t'$. Then for any closed formal subscheme A of \mathcal{N}_n we have an equality $|\mathcal{T}^{\leq t}(\mathcal{T}^{\leq t'}(A))| = |\mathcal{T}^{\leq t'}(\mathcal{T}^{\leq t}(A))|$, where the notation means that the two closed formal subschemes $\mathcal{T}^{\leq t}(\mathcal{T}^{\leq t'}(A))$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\leq t'}(\mathcal{T}^{\leq t}(A))$ of \mathcal{N}_n agree up to a locally nilpotent ideal sheaf. Furthermore, the two maps

 $\mathbb{T}_{t}^{\mathcal{T}^{\leq t'}(A)} \circ \mathbb{T}_{t'}^{A} \colon K^{A}(\mathcal{N}_{n}) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{T}^{\leq t}(\mathcal{T}^{\leq t'}(A))}(\mathcal{N}_{n}), \quad \mathbb{T}_{t'}^{\mathcal{T}^{\leq t}(A)} \circ \mathbb{T}_{t}^{A} \colon K^{A}(\mathcal{N}_{n}) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{T}^{\leq t'}(\mathcal{T}_{t}(A))}(\mathcal{N}_{n})$

are identical modulo torsion.

Remark 5.5.2. The conjectured equality $|\mathcal{T}^{\leq t}(\mathcal{T}^{\leq t'}(A))| = |\mathcal{T}^{\leq t'}(\mathcal{T}^{\leq t}(A))|$ is analogous to the identity of the two subsets (4.1.7) of $\mathbb{N}^{[0]} \times \mathbb{N}^{[0]}$ in Remark 4.1.2.

For an atomic function φ_t (cf. Definition 4.1.1) with $0 \le t \le n$, we define the corresponding Hecke operator as

$$\mathbb{T}^{A}_{\varphi_{t}} := \mathbb{T}^{A}_{t} \colon K^{A}(\mathcal{N}_{n}) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{T}^{\leq t}(A)}(\mathcal{N}_{n}).$$

The homomorphisms obtained in this way will be called *atomic Hecke operators*. By Proposition 4.1.3, atomic functions form a basis of the spherical Hecke algebra (as a polynomial algebra). Following [11, Lem. 1.4], we introduce

$$K^{\sigma}(\mathcal{N})_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{A \subset \mathcal{N}_n} K^A(\mathcal{N}_n) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

where A runs through all closed formal subschemes defined by radical ideal sheaves. Then we obtain for every t an endomorphism \mathbb{T}_{φ_t} of $K^{\sigma}(\mathcal{N}_n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by mapping an element $(\gamma_A)_A$ to $(\beta_B)_B$, where

$$\beta_B = \begin{cases} \mathbb{T}^A_{\varphi_t}(\gamma_A) & \text{if } B = \mathcal{T}^{\leq t}(A) \\ 0 & \text{if } B \text{ is not of this form.} \end{cases}$$

Denoting by $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_K$ the free \mathbb{Q} -algebra with generators X_1, \ldots, X_m , we therefore obtain by taking compositions a homomorphism of algebras

(5.5.3)
$$\mathbb{T}: \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_K \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}(K^{\sigma}(\mathcal{N}_n)_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Explicitly, if $\varphi = \varphi_1 * \varphi_2 * \cdots * \varphi_r$ is a monomial in the atomic Hecke functions $\varphi_1 = \varphi_1 * \varphi_2 * \cdots * \varphi_r$ $\varphi_{t_1}, \varphi_2 = \varphi_{t_2}, \dots, \varphi_r = \varphi_{t_r}, \text{ then } \mathbb{T}_{\varphi}^A = \mathbb{T}_{t_1}^A \circ \mathbb{T}_{t_2}^{\mathcal{T}_{\leq t_1}(A)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbb{T}_{t_r}^{\mathcal{T}_{\leq t_{r-1}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\leq t_{r-2}} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{T}_{\leq t_1}(A)}. \text{ These}$ endomorphisms of $K^{\sigma}(\mathcal{N}_n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ will be called *monomial* Hecke operators.

Conjecture 5.5.1 implies that this homomorphism factors through a homomorphism of the Hecke algebra,

(5.5.4)
$$\mathbb{T}: \mathcal{H}_K \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}(K^{\sigma}(\mathcal{N}_n)_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Remark 5.5.3. The homomorphism property of (5.5.3) would not hold for n > 2 if we had defined $\mathbb{T}_{\varphi_1 * \varphi_2 * \cdots * \varphi_r}$ as the map induced by the composed geometric correspondence $\mathcal{T}^{\leq t_1} \circ \mathcal{T}^{\leq t_2} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{T}^{\leq t_r}$. Indeed, let n > 2 and consider the r-fold iterate \mathbb{T}_t^r for t > 0 and for variable r. By [13, Thm. 1.2], the fiber dimension of the map $\mathcal{N}_n^{[0,t]} \to \mathcal{N}_n^{[0]}$ is positive, and hence so is the fiber dimension d of $\mathcal{T}_n^{\leq t} \to \mathcal{N}_n^{[0]}$. But then the fiber dimension of the 24 *r*-fold composition of geometric correspondences $\mathcal{T}^{\leq t} \circ \mathcal{T}^{\leq t} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{T}^{\leq t} \to \mathcal{N}_n^{[0]}$ is equal to rdand hence, for rd > n, has irreducible components contained in the special fiber which have too high dimension. Hence the resulting formal scheme is not flat over $O_{\breve{F}}$ and the induced map on K-groups is not equal to the *r*-fold composition of the endomorphism $(\mathcal{T}^{\leq t})_*$.

This problem would disappear, if we had defined the Hecke correspondences as derived formal schemes. Indeed, the map $\mathbb{T}_{\varphi_1*\varphi_2*\cdots*\varphi_r}$ is induced by the composition of derived geometric correspondences $\mathcal{T}_{der}^{\leq t_1} \circ \mathcal{T}_{der}^{\leq t_2} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{T}_{der}^{\leq t_r}$, cf. Remark 9.2.2. As the argument above shows, this composition of derived geometric correspondences is not in general a classical formal scheme in our case. Note that, even if we had defined our Hecke operators in terms of derived formal schemes, this does not seem to help in proving Conjecture 5.5.1. Indeed, the only potential argument we see to prove this commutativity is to relate our Hecke operators to classical Hecke operators in the generic fiber, where the desired commutativity holds, and use some density argument to extend the commutativity integrally. However, derived schemes seem unsuitable for such density arguments.

Remark 5.5.4. It is conceivable that $(\mathcal{T}^{\leq t})_* = \mathbb{T}_t$, even when the fiber dimension is positive. Even so, we prefer to write \mathbb{T}_t as a product of intertwining Hecke operators. Our definition is tentative and only a proof of the AFL for the full Hecke algebra in higher dimension will decide which definition is "the right one".

Remark 5.5.5. The argument above uses the fiber dimension of the natural maps $\pi: \mathcal{N}_n^{[t,0]} \to \mathcal{N}_n^{[0]}$, resp. $\pi': \mathcal{N}_n^{[0,t]} \to \mathcal{N}_n^{[0]}$. In [13], the natural maps $\pi: \mathcal{N}_n^{[t',t]} \to \mathcal{N}_n^{[t']}$ for arbitrary $t' \neq t$ are considered (in loc. cit. arbitrary affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties are considered at the point set level). More precisely, consider the fiber of π over an arbitrary point in $\mathcal{N}_n^{[t']}(\bar{k})$ (the latter is the set of \bar{k} -points of a projective scheme over \bar{k}). Then, for n > 2, such a fiber always has strictly positive dimension, unless t = 0 and t' = 2, in which case the fibers are finite, cf. [13, Thm. 1.2]. Hence the morphism π is non-flat in all cases outside the case (t = 0, t' = 2). Indeed, both morphisms π and π' are relatively representable by projective morphisms which are finite in the generic fiber. If flatness held, then all fibers in the special fiber would be finite as well, a contradiction.

Example 5.5.6. Let n = 2. In this case, \mathcal{N}_2 can be identified with the Lubin-Tate space \mathcal{M}_2 for n = 2 via the Serre construction, cf. [17]. Here \mathcal{M}_2 parametrizes triples (Y, ρ) , where Y is a strict formal \mathcal{O}_{F_0} -module of dimension one and height 2, and where ρ is a framing with a fixed Lubin-Tate module \mathbb{Y} over \bar{k} . Also, there is a natural identification of $\mathcal{N}_2^{[2]}$ with \mathcal{N}_2 . Indeed, let $(Y, \iota_Y, \lambda_Y, \rho_Y)$ be an object of $\mathcal{N}_2^{[2]}$. Then the inclusion ker $\lambda_Y \subset Y[\varpi]$ is an equality and hence $\lambda_Y = \varpi \lambda$ for a unique principal polarization λ ; associating to $(Y, \iota_Y, \lambda_Y, \rho_Y)$ the object $(Y, \iota_Y, \lambda, \rho_Y)$ of \mathcal{N}_2 defines the desired isomorphism. Under this identification, $\mathcal{N}_2^{[2,0]}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{M}_{2,Γ_0} , the $\Gamma_0(p)$ -level covering of \mathcal{M}_2 (generalized from \mathbb{Q}_p to arbitrary F_0). Here \mathcal{M}_{0,Γ_0} parametrizes isogenies $\alpha : Y \to Y'$ of degree q with ker $\alpha \subset Y[\varpi]$ which lift a given isogeny $\mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{Y}$. Note that $\mathcal{M}_{2,\Gamma_0}(\bar{k})$ consists of a single

point. Indeed, any two isogenies $\mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{Y}$ as above differ by a quasi-isogeny of degree 0. But such a quasi-isogeny is automatically an automorphism: denoting by D the quaternion division algebra over F_0 , we have

$$\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Y}) = O_D^{\times} = \{ x \in D \mid \operatorname{Nm}(x) \in O_{F_0}^{\times} \} = \{ x \in \operatorname{End}^o(\mathbb{Y}) \mid \deg(x) = 0 \}.$$

In this case, all maps to \mathcal{N}_2 in the diagram (5.5.1) are finite and flat, and hence so are the corresponding maps for the iterated correspondences $(\mathcal{T}^{\leq 2})^r = \mathcal{T}^{\leq 2} \circ \mathcal{T}^{\leq 2} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{T}^{\leq 2}$. In this case, Conjecture 5.5.1 is empty, we have $(\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2})_* = (\mathcal{N}_2^{[2,0]})_* \circ (\mathcal{N}_2^{[0,2]})_*$ and the Hecke operators are induced by geometric Hecke correspondences, i.e., $\mathbb{T}_t^r = ((\mathcal{T}^{\leq 2})^r)_*$.

Remark 5.5.7. Li–Mihatsch [21] consider a situation related to the Linear ATC/AFL for Lubin-Tate space. They also define Hecke operators by first constructing these for distinguished generators of the Hecke algebra, and then by composition (instead of maps of K-groups, they consider maps of cycle groups). In their case both projection maps to \mathcal{N}_n are finite and flat, and the same is true for the composition of these distinguished geometric correspondences. Hence their compositions of distinguished Hecke operators are induced by compositions of geometric correspondences. In their case, the analogue of Conjecture 5.5.1 follows by a density argument for the Hecke correspondences from the classical definition of Hecke correspondences in the generic fiber, which implies the commutativity in the generic fiber.

Remark 5.5.8. Fix an even t with $0 \le t \le n$. Since $\mathcal{N}_n^{[t']}$ is regular for all t', the diagram (5.4.2) defines a Hecke operator $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{1}_{K^{[t]}K^{[t,t']}}*\mathbf{1}_{K^{[t',t]}K^{[t]}}}$ corresponding to the element $\mathbf{1}_{K^{[t]}K^{[t,t']}}*\mathbf{1}_{K^{[t',t]}K^{[t]}}$ in the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{K^{[t]}}$. When t > 0, it is not clear what subalgebra these elements generate. It is also not clear what the relations are among these elements.

5.6. Hecke correspondences for the product. Let

$$\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1} = \mathcal{N}_n \times_{\operatorname{Spf} O_{\breve{F}}} \mathcal{N}_{n+1}.$$

We replace the diagram (5.4.2) by the following diagram, in which the top is defined by the fact that the square is cartesian,



We use this diagram to define the Hecke operator \mathbb{T}_{φ} when $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat} \times K}$ is atomic. In this case t = 0 or t' = 0 and hence the lower oblique arrows are the identity in one factor; we

define $\mathbb{T}_{\varphi} = \mathbb{T}_{\varphi}^{-} \circ \mathbb{T}_{\varphi}^{+}$ as in (5.5.2). More precisely, for closed formal subschemes A of $\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}$, resp. B of $\mathcal{N}_{n}^{[t]} \times \mathcal{N}_{n+1}^{[t']}$, we obtain

(5.6.1)
$$\mathbb{T}_{\varphi}^{A,+} \colon K^{A}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}) \longrightarrow K^{(\mathcal{N}_{n}^{[0,t]} \times \mathcal{N}_{n+1}^{[0,t']})(A)}(\mathcal{N}_{n}^{[t]} \times \mathcal{N}_{n+1}^{[t']}),$$

$$\mathbb{T}_{\varphi}^{B,-} \colon K^{B}(\mathcal{N}_{n}^{[t]} \times \mathcal{N}_{n+1}^{[t']}) \longrightarrow K^{(\mathcal{N}_{n}^{[t,0]} \times \mathcal{N}_{n+1}^{[t',0]})(B)}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}),$$

$$\mathbb{T}_{\varphi}^{A} \colon K^{A}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{T}^{\leq t, \leq t'}(A)}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}).$$

After this, assuming the obvious analogue of Conjecture 5.5.1 for $\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}$, we define \mathbb{T}_{φ}^{A} for monomial elements by iterated compositions, and for general elements $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat} \times K}$ as linear combinations.

6. AFL Conjectures for the Hecke Algebra

In this section, we formulate the AFL conjecture in its homogeneous version and in its inhomogeneous version. We consider the arithmetic diagonal cycle $\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}$.

6.1. Statement of the AFL for Hecke correspondences (homogeneous version). Let W_0 be a split hermitian space of dimension n + 1 and W_1 its nonsplit form. Also, let $u_i \in W_i$ of unit norm for i = 0, 1. We identify W_1 with \mathbb{V}_{n+1} defined in §5.2 in such a way that $u_1 \in W_1$ is mapped to the element $u_0 \in \mathbb{V}_{n+1}$ which corresponds to the map $\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{X}$ defined by the product decomposition $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}^{\flat} \times \mathbb{E}$. Then we may identify $U(W_1^{\flat})$ (resp. $U(W_1)$) with $U(\mathbb{V}_n)$ (resp. $U(\mathbb{V}_{n+1})$). Then $G_{W_1}(F_0)$ acts on $\mathcal{N}_n \times \mathcal{N}_{n+1}$ via this identification.

For each monomial element $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{K}$ (cf. Definition 4.1.5), we consider the corresponding geometric correspondence \mathcal{T}_{φ} with its two projections $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2} \colon \mathcal{T}_{\varphi} \to \mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}$ (recall that in general the Hecke operator corresponding to φ is not induced by \mathcal{T}_{φ}). More precisely, \mathcal{T}_{φ} depends on the order of the product decomposition of φ into atomic elements. Let $g \in G_{W_{1}}(F_{0})$, and consider the image $g\Delta$ under the induced automorphism of $\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}$.

Proposition 6.1.1. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{K}$ be a monomial element. Let $g \in G_{W_{1}}(F_{0})_{rs}$ be regular semisimple. Then the intersection

$$g\Delta \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}(\Delta)) = \pi_2 \left(\pi_1^{-1}(g\Delta) \times_{\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}} \pi_1^{-1}(\Delta) \right)$$

is a proper scheme, i.e., its ideal of definition is nilpotent and the underlying scheme is proper over $\operatorname{Spec} O_{\check{F}}$.

Proof. The proof is based on the same idea as that of Lemma 4.2.1. It suffices to consider elements of the form (1, g) with $g \in U(W_1)_{rs}$.

The two projection maps from the formal scheme $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\varphi}$ to $\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}$ are both proper. Therefore it suffices to show that the image of the intersection under the first projection map is a proper scheme. Let (X^{\flat}, X) , resp. (X'^{\flat}, X') , be in Δ yielding identical images under \mathcal{T}_{φ} , resp. (1, g) (we have surpressed the additional structures in the notation). Then $X = X^{\flat} \times \mathcal{E}$ and $X' = X'^{\flat} \times \mathcal{E}$, and there are quasi-isogenies $f_1^{\flat} : X^{\flat} \to X'^{\flat}$ and $f_2 : X \to gX'$. Let $\varpi^N f_1^{\flat}, \varpi^N f_2$ be isogenies, for some large integer N, depending only on \mathcal{T} . Consider the quasi-isogeny $f_1 = (f_1^{\flat}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{E}}) : X \to X'$. Then $\varpi^N f_1$ is an isogeny.

Recall the element $u_0 \in \mathbb{V}$ corresponding to $u_1 \in W_1$, i.e., the natural element corresponding to the product decomposition $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}^{\flat} \times \mathbb{E}$. Let $\mathcal{Z}(u_0)$ be the associated special divisor on \mathcal{N}_{n+1} , cf. subsection 5.2. From $X' \in \mathcal{Z}(u_0)$ and the isogeny $\varpi^N f_2$, it follows that $X \in \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^N g u_0)$. From the isogeny $\varpi^N f_1$ it follows that $X' \in \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^{2N} g u_0)$. Then inductively we see that X lies on the intersection of the special divisors $\mathcal{Z}(u_0), \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^N g u_0), \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^{3N} g^2 u_0), \cdots$. In particular, X lies on the special cycle

$$\mathcal{Z}(\varpi^{(2n-1)N}\langle u_0, gu_0, \dots, g^n u_0 \rangle).$$

It is known that this special cycle is a proper scheme, cf. [23, Proof of Lem. 6.1]. This shows that the image (X^{\flat}, X) of the intersection under the first projection map is a proper scheme. The proof is complete.

We introduce the *intersection number*

(6.1.1)
$$\operatorname{Int}(g,\varphi) := \langle g\Delta, \mathbb{T}^{\Delta}_{\varphi}(\Delta) \rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}}, \quad g \in G_{W_1}(F_0)_{\mathrm{rs}}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat} \times K}.$$

Here the RHS is defined by linearity from the case of monomial φ . For monomial φ , it is defined as follows. Consider the cup product

$$K^{g\Delta}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}) \times K^{\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}(\Delta)}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}) \longrightarrow K^{g\Delta\cap\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}(\Delta)}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}).$$

On the other hand, there is the composition of maps

$$K^{g\Delta\cap\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}(\Delta)}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{nat}} K'(g\Delta\cap\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}(\Delta)) \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here the first map is the natural map from K-theory to K'-theory (sending a complex C which is acyclic outside a closed subset to the alternating sum of the classes in K' of the cohomology sheaves of C); the second map is given by the Euler-Poincaré characteristic (defined since $g\Delta \cap \mathcal{T}_{\varphi}(\Delta)$ is a proper scheme).

Combining these two maps defines the pairing

$$\langle , \rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}} \colon K^{g\Delta}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}) \times K^{\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}(\Delta)}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Tensoring with \mathbb{Q} , we obtain the pairing

(6.1.2)
$$\langle , \rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}} \colon K^{g\Delta}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1})_{\mathbb{Q}} \times K^{\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}(\Delta)}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1})_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

Consider the element $[g\Delta] \in K^{g\Delta}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1})$, namely the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{g\Delta}$, considered as an element in $K'(g\Delta) = K^{g\Delta}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1})$. Similarly, consider the element $[\Delta] \in K^{\Delta}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1})$ and its image $\mathbb{T}^{\Delta}_{\varphi}([\Delta]) \in K^{\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}(\Delta)}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ under the map $\mathbb{T}^{\Delta}_{\varphi} \colon K^{\Delta}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}) \to K^{\mathcal{T}_{\varphi}(\Delta)}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then the RHS of (6.1.1) is defined as $\langle [g\Delta], \mathbb{T}^{\Delta}_{\varphi}([\Delta]) \rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}}$.

Remark 6.1.2. Let φ be a monomial element. If Conjecture 5.5.1 holds true, then the quantity $\operatorname{Int}(g,\varphi)$ does not depend on the order in which the monomial element φ is written as a product of atomic elements. This independence is all that matters in the formulation of the AFL conjecture. Then $\operatorname{Int}(g,\varphi)$ defines a linear functional $\mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat}\times K} \to \mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 6.1.3. Let $h \in U(W_1^{\flat})(F_0) \times U(W_1)(F_0)$. Denote by

$$h_* \colon K^{\Delta}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}) \longrightarrow K^{h(\Delta)}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}), \text{ resp. } h_* \colon K^{\mathbb{T}_{\varphi}(\Delta)}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}) \longrightarrow K^{h(\mathbb{T}_{\varphi}(\Delta))}(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1})$$

its action on the K-group. Then $h_* \circ \mathbb{T}_{\varphi}^{\Delta} = \mathbb{T}_{\varphi}^{h(\Delta)} \circ h_*$. If h is a diagonal element induced by an element in $\mathrm{U}(W_1^{\flat})(F_0)$, then $h\Delta = \Delta$. It follows that for $h_1, h_2 \in \mathrm{U}(W_1^{\flat})(F_0)$,

$$Int(g,\varphi) = \langle g\Delta, \mathbb{T}^{\Delta}_{\varphi}(\Delta) \rangle = \langle gh_1\Delta, \mathbb{T}^{\Delta}_{\varphi}(h_2\Delta) \rangle$$
$$= \langle gh_1\Delta, h_2\mathbb{T}^{\Delta}_{\varphi}(\Delta) \rangle$$
$$= \langle h_2^{-1}gh_1\Delta, \mathbb{T}^{\Delta}_{\varphi}(\Delta) \rangle$$
$$= Int(h_2^{-1}gh_1, \varphi).$$

Therefore the function $g \in G_{W_1}(F_0)_{\mathrm{rs}} \mapsto \mathrm{Int}(g,\varphi)$ depends only on the orbit of g.

Conjecture 6.1.4. (AFL for the spherical Hecke algebra, homogeneous version.) Let $\varphi' \in \mathcal{H}_{K'^{\flat}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{K'}$, and let $\varphi = BC(\varphi') \in \mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{K}$. Then

$$2\operatorname{Int}(g,\varphi) \cdot \log q = -\omega(\gamma) \,\partial \operatorname{Orb}\left(\gamma,\varphi'\right),$$

whenever $\gamma \in G'(F_0)_{\rm rs}$ is matched with $g \in G_{W_1}(F_0)_{\rm rs}$.

In §7 we prove the conjecture when n = 1, cf. Theorem 8.2.3.

Remark 6.1.5. To ensure that the LHS is well-defined, we are implicitly using the commutativity conjecture, Conjecture 5.5.1 (for the product $\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}$, cf. §5.6) or its weakened version, cf. Remark 6.1.2. However, one may bypass this by interpreting Conjecture 6.1.4 as saying that the AFL identity holds for any representative $\tilde{\varphi} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_K$ of φ in the free algebra corresponding to the polynomial basis given by the atomic generators, cf. (5.5.3).

6.2. The inhomogeneous version of the AFL. This is the special case when $\varphi = \mathbf{1}_{K^{\flat}} \otimes f$. Moreover we choose $\varphi' = \mathbf{1}_{K'^{\flat}} \otimes f'$ for $f' \in \mathcal{H}_{K'}$ with $\mathrm{BC}_{n+1}(f') = f$. In this case it is more elegant to formulate the analytic side in terms of the symmetric space S_{n+1} . In fact, by Lemma 3.7.2 we have for $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G'(F_0)_{\mathrm{rs}}$,

$$\omega_{G'}(\gamma) \,\partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \mathbf{1}_{K'^{\flat}} \otimes f') = 2\omega_S(r(\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2)) \,\partial \operatorname{Orb}(r(\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2), r_*^{\eta^n}(f')).$$

By Remark 6.1.3, it suffices to consider the regular semi-simple elements of the form (1, g), with $g \in U(W_1)(F_0)_{rs}$. For notational simplicity, we write $Int(g, f) = Int((1, g), \mathbf{1}_{K^{\flat}} \otimes f)$. Recall from (3.7.3) and (3.7.5) the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{BC}_{S_{n+1}}^{\eta^n}: \mathcal{H}_{K'_S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_K.$$

Then the inhomogeneous version AFL is as follows. We emphasize that this conjecture is only a special case of Conjecture 6.1.4.

Conjecture 6.2.1. (AFL for the spherical Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_K , inhomogeneous version.) Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_K$. Then

$$\operatorname{Int}(g, f) \cdot \log q = -\omega(\gamma) \,\partial \operatorname{Orb}\left(\gamma, (\operatorname{BC}_{S_{n+1}}^{\eta^n})^{-1}(f)\right),$$

whenever $g \in U(\mathbb{V}_{n+1})_{rs}$ is matched with $\gamma \in S_{n+1}(F_0)_{rs}$.

Remark 6.2.2. There is also the special case of the AFL conjecture when the second factor of $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{K}$ is the unit element. It is unclear to us whether this case is simpler than the general case.

7. The case
$$n = 1$$

In this section we provide evidence to Conjecture 6.1.4 by proving the case n = 1. We also give a direct (local) proof of the FL for the full spherical Hecke algebra in this case (cf. §3.6). We use the following notation. Let $G' = \operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ and $K' = \operatorname{GL}_2(O_F)$. We write $\varpi^{(m,m')}$ for the diagonal matrix in G' with entries ϖ^m and $\varpi^{m'}$. Also, $G = U(W_0)$, where we use the Hermitian form on the standard 2-dimensional vector space given by the Hermitian matrix

(7.0.1)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\epsilon} \\ -\sqrt{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon \in O_{F_0}^{\times} \setminus O_{F_0}^{\times,2}$$

We let $K = G \cap M_2(O_F)$ be the natural hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup.

7.1. **Base change homomorphism.** In this subsection we explicate the base change homomorphism

$$\mathrm{BC}:\mathcal{H}_{K'}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}_K,$$

as well as an auxiliary map and its factorization (3.7.8), which we record here for later use,

(7.1.1)
$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_{K'} \\ r_*^{\eta} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}_{K'_S} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{BC}} \mathcal{H}_K \end{array}$$

Our goal is to compute the isomorphism BC_S^{η} explicitly in terms a certain basis of \mathcal{H}_K . We will use freely statements from §3.

 Set

$$f'_m = \mathbf{1}_{M_2(O_F)_{v \circ \det} = m}, \quad m \ge 0.$$

The Satake isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{K'} \simeq \mathbb{C}[X,Y]^W$ for $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ is explicitly given by

~ (

(7.1.2)
$$\operatorname{Sat}(f'_m) = q^m \frac{X^{m+1} - Y^{m+1}}{X - Y}.$$

Here and below we use $X = x_1, Y = x_2$ for x_1, x_2 in §3.

Similarly, setting

$$f_m = \mathbf{1}_{\varpi^{-m} M_2(O_F) \cap G}$$

the Satake isomorphism for the unitary group is explicitly given by

(7.1.3)
$$\operatorname{Sat}(f_m) = q^m \frac{X^{(2m+1)/2} - Y^{(2m+1)/2}}{X^{1/2} - Y^{1/2}}$$

where XY = 1. Note that, despite the fractional exponents, the last expression is a polynomial of X and $Y = X^{-1}$:

(7.1.4)
$$\operatorname{Sat}(f_m) = q^m \sum_{i=-m}^m X^i$$

 Set

(7.1.5)
$$\phi_m := \mathbf{1}_{K\varpi^{(m,-m)}K} = f_m - f_{m-1}.$$

Here, and the sequel, we set $f'_m = 0$ for m < 0, and similarly for f_m . Then we have a basis of \mathcal{H}_K given by $\phi_m, m \ge 0$. In terms of the functions introduced in §3 and §4, we have $\phi_1 = f^{[2]}$, cf. (3.5.1), hence $\phi_1 = \varphi_2 - (q+1)$, cf. (4.1.9).

The base change homomorphism is then the natural quotient map defined by setting XY = 1:

(7.1.6) BC:
$$\mathbb{C}[X,Y]^W \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X,X^{-1}]^W \simeq \mathbb{C}[X+X^{-1}].$$

Next we describe explicitly the other two maps in the diagram (7.1.1). Consider the Cartan decomposition for the symmetric space S_2 ,

$$S_2(F_0) = \prod_{m \ge 0} K' \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^m \\ \varpi^{-m} \end{pmatrix}$$

The subset indexed by m = 0 is $K'_S = K' \cdot 1_2 = K' \cap S_2(F_0)$. We denote the complete set of representatives of K'-orbits on $S_2(F_0)$,

(7.1.7)
$$t_m := \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^m \\ \varpi^{-m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad m \ge 0$$

Therefore, we have a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{K'_{S}}$ given by

(7.1.8)
$$\varphi'_m = \mathbf{1}_{K' \cdot t_m}, \quad m \ge 0.$$

Recall the map r_*^{η} from (3.7.7). Note that a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{K'}$ is given by $\mathbf{1}_{\varpi^j K' \varpi^{(m,0)} K'}, j \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq 0.$

Lemma 7.1.1. (i) The map $r_*^{\eta} \colon \mathcal{H}_{K'} \to \mathcal{H}_{K'_S}$ sends $\mathbf{1}_{\varpi^j K' \varpi^{(m,0)} K'}$ to $(-1)^m \sum_{i=0}^m e_{m-i} \varphi'_i$, where

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0, \\ q^{i}(1+q^{-1}), & i > 0. \end{cases}$$
(ii) The map r_{*}^{η} sends f'_{m} to $(-1)^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m} (\sum_{j=0}^{m-i} q^{j}) \varphi'_{i}.$
31

(iii) We have $\mathrm{BC}^{\eta}_{S}(\tilde{\varphi}'_{m}) = \phi_{m}$, where

(7.1.9)
$$\tilde{\varphi}'_m := (-1)^m (\varphi'_m + 2\varphi'_{m-1} + \dots + 2\varphi'_0) \in \mathcal{H}_{K'_S}.$$

Proof. We first show part (ii). We need to compute the integral

$$r_*^{\eta}(f'_m)(g\overline{g}^{-1}) = \int_{\operatorname{GL}_2(F_0)} f'_m(gh)\widetilde{\eta}(gh) \, dh.$$

Since the determinant of any element in the support of f'_m has valuation m, the integral is equal to

$$r_*^{\eta}(f'_m)(g\overline{g}^{-1}) = (-1)^m \int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F_0)} f'_m(gh) \, dh.$$

It suffices to determine its value at elements of the form t_i , cf. (7.1.7). By Iwasawa decomposition, every element in $\operatorname{GL}_2(F)$ lies in in some $K' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{m-i} \\ & \varpi^i \end{pmatrix}$. All elements in this K'-coset are mapped into a single K'-orbit in $S_2(F_0)$ with representative

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \overline{u} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u - \overline{u} \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This last element is K'-equivalent (in $S_2(F_0)$) to $t_{\max\{-v(u-\overline{u}),0\}}$ (recall that we are assuming that the residue characteristic is odd). Therefore it suffices to compute the integral for $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where $u \in F^-$ lies in the purely imaginary part and has valuation $v(u^{-1}) \leq 0$. We use the Iwasawa decomposition $\operatorname{GL}_2(F_0) = ANK_0$, where A denotes the diagonal torus, N the subgroup of upper triangular unipotent matrices, and $K_0 = \operatorname{GL}_2(O_{F_0})$. Accordingly we write an element in $\operatorname{GL}_2(F_0)$ as $h = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} k$. The Haar measure on $\operatorname{GL}_2(F_0)$ is then given by $d^{\times}x d^{\times}y dz$, where the multiplicative (resp. additive) Haar measure on F_0^{\times} (resp. F_0) is normalized such that $\operatorname{vol}(O_{F_0}^{\times}) = 1$ (resp. $\operatorname{vol}(O_{F_0}) = 1$). Then the condition $gh \in M_2(O_F), v(\det(gh)) = m$ is equivalent to

$$x, y \in O_{F_0}, \quad v(xy) = m, \quad xz \in O_{F_0}, \quad yu \in O_F$$

(note that $x, y, z \in F_0$ and $u \in F^-$). It follows that

$$\int_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F_0)} f'_m(gh) \, dh = \int_{-v(u) \le v(y) \le m} \int_{v(x) = m - v(y)} \int_{z \in \frac{1}{x} O_{F_0}} dz \, d^{\times} x \, d^{\times} y.$$

This triple integral is equal to

$$\sum_{0 \le i \le m + v(u)} q^i$$

This shows

$$r_*^{\eta}(f'_m) = (-1)^m \sum_{i=0}^m (\sum_{j=0}^{m-i} q^j) \varphi'_i.$$

This shows part (ii) and part (i) follows: by $\mathbf{1}_{K'\varpi^{(m,0)}K'} = f'_m - f'_{m-2}$, we deduce from part (ii) that

$$r_*^{\eta}(\mathbf{1}_{K'\varpi^{(m,0)}K'}) = r_*^{\eta}(f'_m - f'_{m-2}) = \sum_{i=0}^m e_{m-i}\varphi'_i.$$

It remains to show part (iii). From the explicit formulas of Satake transforms (7.1.2) and (7.1.3), we see that the map BC in (7.1.6) sends $f'_m + qf'_{m-1}$ to f_m . It follows that

(7.1.10)
$$\operatorname{BC}(f'_m + (q-1)f'_{m-1} - f'_{m-2}) = \phi_m, \quad m \ge 0.$$

From part (ii) we have

$$r_*^{\eta}(f'_m + (q-1)f'_{m-1} - f'_{m-2}) = \tilde{\varphi}'_m.$$

By the commutative diagram (7.1.1), we see that BC_S^{η} sends $\tilde{\varphi}'_m$ to ϕ_m , as desired.

Remark 7.1.2. The explicit description above gives a direct proof of (3.7.3) in the case n = 2.

7.2. Orbital integrals on the unitary group. We now consider the orbital integrals of elements in $\mathcal{H}_{K'_S}$. Recall from (7.1.5) that ϕ_m denotes $\mathbf{1}_{K\varpi^{(m,-m)}K}$, where, we recall, K denotes the hyperspecial subgroup of $U(W_0)$. Now we make a change of Hermitian form for W_0 from (7.0.1) to the identity matrix. This is for the convenience of orbit comparison in (3.3.2). We define the invariants map on the orbits of $G(F_0)$ by

(7.2.1)
$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto (a, d, bc).$$

(note that (a, d, bc) are not independent).

Proposition 7.2.1. Let $m \ge 1$. Then for $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G(F_0)$ regular semisimple, we have

(7.2.2)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(g,\phi_m,s) = \begin{cases} 1, & v(1-a\overline{a}) = -2m \\ 0, & v(1-a\overline{a}) \neq -2m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have, since we use the Hermitian form on W_0 given by the identity matrix,

(7.2.3)
$$a\bar{a} = d\bar{d} = 1 - b\bar{b}, \quad a\bar{c} = b\bar{d} \neq 0$$

The invariants are a, d and $bc = (1 - a\overline{a})d/\overline{a}$. Since the group $H = U_1(F_0)$ is compact and lies in K, the orbital integral is either 1 or 0, depending on whether g lies in the support of ϕ_m or not. We note that the support of ϕ_m is the set of matrices $g \in G(F_0)$ such that $\varpi^m g \in M_2(O_F)$ and such that there exists at least one entry of g with valuation exactly -m. With the help of the above identities, it is easy to see that the support condition amounts to a, b, c, d all having valuation equal to -m.

7.3. Orbital integrals on the symmetric space S_2 . Recall that the inhomogeneous orbital integral is defined by (3.2.3). We also recall from [29, §15.1] the structure of regular semisimple sets on the symmetric space $S(F_0) = S_2(F_0)$. We write an element as

(7.3.1)
$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in S(F_0)$$

Then γ is regular semi-simple if and only if $bc \neq 0$, in which case we may write γ as

(7.3.2)
$$\gamma = \gamma(a, b) := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ (1 - Na)/\overline{b} & -\overline{a}b/\overline{b} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -b/\overline{b} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -(1 - Na)/b & \overline{a} \end{pmatrix} \in S(F_0)_{\rm rs}, \quad a \in F \smallsetminus F^1, \ b \in F^{\times}$$

Similarly to the unitary group case, we define the invariant map on the orbits of $S(F_0)$,

(7.3.3)
$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto (a, d, bc),$$

(again, (a, d, bc) are not independent, cf. (7.2.1)). Then an orbit of $\gamma \in S(F_0)_{\rm rs}$ matches an orbit of $g \in G(F_0)_{\rm rs}$ if and only if they have the same invariants. In particular, an element $\gamma \in S(F_0)_{\rm rs}$ matches an element in the quasi-split (resp. non-quasi-split) unitary group if and only if $v(1 - a\bar{a})$ is even (resp. $v(1 - a\bar{a})$ is odd).

We now consider the orbital integral of elements in $\mathcal{H}_{K'_S}$. Since F/F_0 is unramified, we may assume that, up to conjugation by $H = \operatorname{GL}_1(F_0)$, for a regular semisimple γ as in (7.3.1) that the entry c is a unit.

Proposition 7.3.1. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in S_2(F_0)$ be regular semisimple with v(c) = 0. When $m \ge 1$, we have

(7.3.4)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \varphi'_m, s) = \begin{cases} (-1)^m q^{ms} (1 + \eta (1 - a\overline{a})q^{-(v(1 - a\overline{a}) + 2m)s}), & v(1 - a\overline{a}) > -2m \\ (-1)^m q^{ms}, & v(1 - a\overline{a}) = -2m \\ 0, & v(1 - a\overline{a}) < -2m. \end{cases}$$

When m = 0, we have

(7.3.5)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,\varphi_0',s) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{v(1-a\overline{a})} (-1)^i q^{-is}, & v(1-a\overline{a}) \ge 0\\ 0, & v(1-a\overline{a}) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in S_2(F_0)$ be regular semisimple. Then we have

(7.3.6)
$$1 - a\bar{a} = 1 - dd = cb = b\bar{c} \neq 0$$

Assume m > 0. We first consider those orbits γ such that $a \in O_F$. Then $d \in O_F$ and $b\bar{c} \in O_F$. Then the condition for $h^{-1}\gamma h = \begin{pmatrix} a & h^{-1}b \\ ch & d \end{pmatrix}$ to be in $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi'_m)$ is that either $h^{-1}b$ or ch lies in $\varpi^{-m}O_F^{\times}$. It follows that $v(h) \in \{-m, v(bc) + m\}$ and

(7.3.7)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \varphi'_m, s) = (-1)^m q^{ms} (1 + \eta(b\bar{c})q^{-(v(b\bar{c}) + 2m)s}).$$

Next we consider the orbits with $a \notin O_F$. Then $a\bar{a}$, $d\bar{d}$ and $c\bar{b} = b\bar{c}$ all have equal valuations. If v(a) = -m, then v(b) = 2v(a) = -2m (note that we have assumed v(c) = 0). The condition for $h \cdot \gamma \in K' \cdot t_m$ is

$$v(h^{-1}b) \ge -m, \quad v(ch) = v(h) \ge -m,$$

or equivalently

$$-m \le v(h) \le m + v(b) = -m.$$

Therefore the orbital integral is equal to

(7.3.8)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \varphi'_m, s) = (-1)^m q^{ms}.$$

If -m < v(a) < 0, then $v(b) = 2v(a) \neq -2m$. A similar argument shows that $v(h) \in \{-m, m + v(b)\}$ and

(7.3.9)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \varphi'_m, s) = (-1)^m q^{ms} (1 + \eta (b\bar{c}) q^{-(v(b\bar{c}) + 2m)s}).$$

We have thus proved (7.3.4).

Assume m = 0. If $v(a) \ge 0$, then

(7.3.10)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \varphi'_0, s) = \sum_{i=0}^{v(bc)} (-1)^i q^{-is}.$$

The case v(a) < 0 is similar to the m > 0 case.

Finally note that $v(b) = v(bc) = v(1 - a\overline{a})$. The proof is complete.

Proposition 7.3.2. (i) The functions $\phi_m \in \mathcal{H}_K$ and $\tilde{\varphi}'_m \in \mathcal{H}_{K_S}$ are transfers of each other.

(ii) Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in S_2(F_0)_{\rm rs}$ with v(c) = 0. Assume $v(1 - a\overline{a})$ odd (so that γ matches an element in $U(W_1)(F_0)_{\rm rs}$). When $m \ge 1$, we have

$$\partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \tilde{\varphi}'_m) = \log q \begin{cases} 1, & v(1 - a\overline{a}) > 0\\ 0, & v(1 - a\overline{a}) < 0. \end{cases}$$

When m = 0, we have

$$\partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \tilde{\varphi}_0') = \log q \begin{cases} \frac{v(1-a\overline{a})+1}{2}, & v(1-a\overline{a}) \ge 0\\ 0, & v(1-a\overline{a}) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. When m > 0, we have the value at s = 0,

(7.3.11)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \varphi'_m) = (-1)^m \begin{cases} 1 + \eta (1 - a\overline{a}), & v(1 - a\overline{a}) > -2m, \\ 1, & v(1 - a\overline{a}) = -2m, \\ 0, & v(1 - a\overline{a}) < -2m, \end{cases}$$

and, when $v(1 - a\overline{a})$ is odd, we have the first derivative at s = 0,

$$\partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \varphi_m') = (-1)^m \log q \begin{cases} v(1 - a\overline{a}) + 2m, & v(1 - a\overline{a}) > -2m \\ 0, & v(1 - a\overline{a}) < -2m. \end{cases}$$

When m = 0, we have the value at s = 0,

(7.3.12)
$$\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \varphi_0') = (-1)^m \begin{cases} 1, & v(1 - a\overline{a}) \ge 0\\ 0, & v(1 - a\overline{a}) < 0, \end{cases}$$

and, when $v(1 - a\overline{a})$ is odd, we have the first derivative at s = 0,

$$\partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \varphi_0') = (-1)^m \log q \begin{cases} \frac{v(1-a\overline{a})+1}{2}, & v(1-a\overline{a}) \ge 0\\ 0, & v(1-a\overline{a}) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, when $v(1 - a\overline{a}) = -2m$, we have $\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \widetilde{\varphi}'_m) = \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \varphi'_m) = 1$ (cf. the definition of $\widetilde{\varphi}'_m$ in (7.1.9)). When $v(1 - a\overline{a}) = -2(m - i) > -2m$ is even, we have from (7.3.11) and (7.3.12)

$$\operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \tilde{\varphi}'_m) = 2 - 4 + 4 + \dots + (-1)^{i-1} 4 + (-1)^i 2 = 0,$$

It follows from a comparison with Proposition 7.2.1 that $\phi_m \in \mathcal{H}(U(V))$ and $\tilde{\varphi}'_m \in \mathcal{H}(S_2)$ are transfers of each other. This proves part (i).

It remains to compute the first derivative $\partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \tilde{\varphi}'_m)$ when $v(1 - a\overline{a}) > -2m$ is odd. It suffices to consider the case when $v(1 - a\overline{a}) = v(bc)$ is positive. Set $v(1 - a\overline{a}) = -2(m-i)+1$. Then $\partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \tilde{\varphi}'_m)$ equals $\log q$ times

$$\begin{aligned} (v(bc) + 2m) &- 2(v(bc) + 2(m-1)) + \dots + (-1)^{m-1}2(v(bc) + 2) + (-1)^m(v(bc) + 1) \\ &= (2m - 2(m-1)) - \dots + (-1)^{i-1}(2(m-i+1) - 2(m-i)) + \dots + (-1)^{m-1}(2-1) \\ &= 2 - 2 + 2 - \dots + (-1)^{m-2}2 + (-1)^{m-1} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

Remark 7.3.3. Part (i) gives a direct proof in the case n = 1 of the FL for the full spherical Hecke algebra, cf. §3.6 and §3.7.

7.4. Intersection numbers. In this subsection, we often write $\mathcal{N}^{[0]}$, or simply \mathcal{N} , for $\mathcal{N}_2^{[0]}$. Note that $\mathcal{N} \simeq \operatorname{Spf}(W[[t]])$ has only one point. Recall the Hecke operator $\mathbb{T}_{\varphi_2}^A \colon K^A(\mathcal{N}) \to K^{\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2}(A)}(\mathcal{N})$. It is defined as $\mathbb{T}_{\varphi_2}^A = \mathbb{T}_{\leq 2}^A = \mathbb{T}^{A,-} \circ \mathbb{T}^{\mathcal{N}^{[0,2]}(A),+}$, where

(7.4.1)
$$\mathbb{T}^{A,+} = (\mathcal{N}^{[0,2]})_* \colon K^A(\mathcal{N}_2^{[0]}) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{N}^{[0,2]}(A)}(\mathcal{N}_2^{[2]}), \\ \mathbb{T}^{B,-} = (\mathcal{N}^{[2,0]})_* \colon K^B(\mathcal{N}_2^{[2]}) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{N}^{[2,0]}(B)}(\mathcal{N}_2^{[0]}),$$

cf. (5.5.2). We may identify $\mathcal{N}_2^{[2]}$ with \mathcal{N} (cf. Remark 5.5.6), and then both Hecke operators in (7.4.1) are induced by the same geometric correspondence $\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} \to \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$ and its transpose, i.e., we can write

(7.4.2)
$$\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2} = \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} \circ {}^t \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0}$$

The notation \mathcal{T}_{Γ_0} is a reminder of the fact that \mathcal{T}_{Γ_0} is the analogue of the $\Gamma_0(p)$ -covering of the modular curve in the present context, cf. Remark 5.5.6.

Since the projection morphisms from the composition $\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2}$ of the geometric correspondences $\mathcal{N}^{[0,2]}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{[2,0]}$ to \mathcal{N} are finite and flat, we have $\mathbb{T}_{\leq 2} = (\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2})_*$, cf. Lemma 9.2.1 (we are leaving out the support A from the notation). A similar reasoning shows that $\mathbb{T}_{\varphi_2^m} = ((\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2})^m)_*$, where $(\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2})^m = \mathcal{T}_{\leq 2} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{T}_{\leq 2}$ is the *m*-fold iterated composition of $\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2}$ with itself (which again maps by finite flat morphisms to $\mathcal{N}^{[0]}$).

At this point we use the local intersection calculus developed in [21, §5] (the role of the regular local formal scheme M in loc. cit. is played here by \mathcal{N}).

We consider the natural morphism $(\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2})^m \to \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$. Since $(\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2})^m$ is finite and flat over \mathcal{N} , this morphism is finite with support of codimension one. We associate to it an element of the group $Z^1(\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N})$ of cycles of codimension one (namely $\sum_{Z \in (\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N})^{(1)}} \ell_{\mathcal{O}_{\eta_Z}}(\mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2})^m})[Z] \in Z^1(\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N})$, cf. [21, Def. 5.1]). We use the same notation $(\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2})^m$ for this one-cycle. Since $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$ is regular, we may regard $(\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2})^m$ as an effective Cartier divisor on $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$. By the flatness of $(\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2})^m$ over \mathcal{N} , it is in fact a relative Cartier divisor, i.e., it is at every point defined by one equation in W[[t, t']] which is neither a unit nor divisible by p.

Both projection maps to \mathcal{N} are finite, hence $(\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2})^m$ is an element of the ring of correspondences $\operatorname{Corr}(\mathcal{N})$, and from the definition of the ring structure on $\operatorname{Corr}(\mathcal{N})$, it follows that $(\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2})^m$ is the *m*-th power of the correspondence $\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2}$, cf. [21, Def. 5.6]. We obtain a homomorphism of \mathbb{Q} -algebras,

$$\mathcal{H}_K \longrightarrow \operatorname{Corr}(\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N})_{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad \sum_m a_m \varphi_2^m \longmapsto \sum_m a_m (\mathcal{T}_{\leq 2})^m.$$

We denote the image of φ under this map by \mathcal{T}_{φ} . Note that the unit element $\varphi = \mathbf{1}$ is mapped to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{1}} = \Delta_{\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}}$ (the diagonal in $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$), which we denote by \mathcal{T}_0 . To simplify the notation, we write \mathcal{T}_1 for \mathcal{T}_{φ_2} (not to be confused with the divisor associated to the unit element). Also, for $\phi_m = \mathbf{1}_{K_{\overline{\omega}}^{(m,-m)}K}$, we use the notation \mathcal{T}_m° for \mathcal{T}_{ϕ_m} . **Lemma 7.4.1.** There is the relation of Cartier divisors on $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$,

$$\mathcal{T}_1^\circ = \mathcal{T}_1 - (q+1)\mathcal{T}_0$$

and the recursive relation

$$\mathcal{T}_{\varphi_2*\phi_m} = \mathcal{T}_1 \circ \mathcal{T}_m^\circ = \mathcal{T}_{m+1}^\circ + 2q\mathcal{T}_m^\circ + q^2\mathcal{T}_{m-1}^\circ, \quad m \ge 1.$$

Proof. This follows from the relations in the Hecke algebra:

$$\phi_1 = \varphi_2 - (q+1), \quad \varphi_2 \phi_m = \phi_{m+1} + 2q\phi_m + q^2\phi_{m-1}, m \ge 1.$$

The first identity is mentioned below (7.1.5). The second identity follows from this, after expressing ϕ_m in terms of f_m as in (7.1.5) and applying the Satake isomorphism, cf. (7.1.4).

Recall the action of $\operatorname{Corr}(\mathcal{N})$ on $Z^1(\mathcal{N})$, cf. [21, Def. 5.7]

$$(7.4.3) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{Z} \longmapsto \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{Z}.$$

Hence we obtain an action of \mathcal{H}_K on $Z^1(\mathcal{N})_{\mathbb{O}}$.

Recall the special vector $u_0 \in \mathbb{V}_2$, cf. §6.1. It is of valuation zero (i.e., its length is a unit), and there is the natural identification $\mathcal{Z}(u_0) \simeq \mathcal{T}_0 = \Delta$ for the associated special divisor on \mathcal{N} , cf. [17]. For a non-zero $u \in \mathbb{V}_2$ we let $\mathcal{Z}(u)^\circ = \mathcal{Z}(u) - \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^{-1}u)$ denote the difference divisor, cf. [32]. It is an effective Cartier divisor on \mathcal{N} . Note that $\mathcal{Z}(u_0)^\circ = \mathcal{Z}(u_0)$.

Proposition 7.4.2. Let $m \ge 0$. Then there is an equality of Cartier divisors on \mathcal{N} ,

$$\mathcal{T}_m^{\circ} * \Delta = \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^m u_0)^{\circ}.$$

(For $m \geq 1$, both sides have degree $q^{2m-1}(q+1)$ over $\operatorname{Spf} O_{\check{F}}$.)

Proof. By Lemma 7.4.1, this identity is equivalent to the conjunction of the following identities.

(1) $\mathcal{T}_1 * \mathcal{Z}(u_0) = \mathcal{Z}(\varpi u_0)^\circ + (q+1)\mathcal{Z}(u_0).$ (2) $\mathcal{T}_1 * \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^m u_0)^\circ = \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^{m+1}u_0)^\circ + 2q\mathcal{Z}(\varpi^m u_0)^\circ + q^2\mathcal{Z}(\varpi^{m-1}u_0)^\circ, \quad m \ge 1.$

Let us prove (1). Note that $\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} \cap \pi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}(u_0)) \subseteq \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$ is the locus of isogenies $X^{(0)} \to Y$ lifting a given isogeny $\overline{\mathbb{E}} \times \mathbb{E} \to \overline{\mathbb{E}} \times \mathbb{E}$ of degree q^2 . (Recall that there is only one such isogeny up to isomorphism, cf. Remark 5.5.6.) Here $X^{(0)} = O_F \otimes_{O_{F_0}} \mathcal{F}_0$ is written in terms of the Serre construction, where $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{E}$ is the canonical lift, i.e., the quasi-canonical lift of level 0.

Let $\mathbb{V} = \langle u_0 \rangle \oplus \langle u_1 \rangle$ with $\operatorname{val}(u_1) = 1$. Then $\mathcal{Z}(u_1)$ can be identified with the quasicanonical divisor of level 1, cf. [17, Def. 6.6]. Consider the O_F -module $X^{(1)} = O_F \otimes_{O_{F_0}} \mathcal{F}_1$ over $\mathcal{Z}(u_1)$, with \mathcal{F}_1 denoting the quasi-canonical lift of level 1 of \mathbb{E} in the sense of Gross, cf. [17, §6]. From the isogeny of quasi-canonical lifts $\mathcal{F}_0 \to \mathcal{F}_1$, we obtain the isogeny $X^{(0)} \to X^{(1)}$ over $\mathcal{Z}(u_1)$. Hence we obtain a closed embedding $\iota : \mathcal{Z}(u_1) \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} \cap \pi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}(u_0))$. Since $\pi_2 \circ \iota$ is the natural embedding of $\mathcal{Z}(u_1)$ in \mathcal{N} , we obtain an inequality of Cartier divisors, $\mathcal{Z}(u_1) \leq [\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0}] * \mathcal{Z}(u_0)$. Since both these Cartier divisors map with degree q + 1 to Spf $O_{\check{F}}$, they are equal, i.e.,

(7.4.4)
$$\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} * \mathcal{Z}(u_0) = \mathcal{Z}(u_1).$$

Similarly, $\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} \cap \pi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}(u_1))$ parametrizes isogenies $X^{(1)} \to Y$ lifting the given isogeny $\overline{\mathbb{E}} \times \mathbb{E} \to \overline{\mathbb{E}} \times \mathbb{E}$. The universal object over $\mathcal{Z}(\varpi u_0)^\circ$ provides such an isogeny $X^{(1)} \to X^{(2)}$ over $S = \operatorname{Spf} O_{\check{F},2}$. Here for any $n, O_{\check{F},n}$ denotes the ring of integers in the abelian extension of \check{F} corresponding to the subgroup $(O_{F_0} + \varpi^n O_F)^{\times}$ of the group of units. Hence we obtain a closed embedding $\mathcal{Z}(\varpi u_0)^\circ \subset \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} * \mathcal{Z}(u_1)$.

On the other hand, consider the natural isogeny $X^{(0)}_{\operatorname{Spf}O_{\check{F},1}} \to X^{(1)}$. It induces a unique isogeny $X^{(1)} \to X^{(0)}_{\operatorname{Spf}O_{\check{F},1}}$ such that the composition is equal to $\varpi \colon X^{(1)} \to X^{(1)}$. We obtain a closed embedding of $\operatorname{Spf}O_{\check{F},1}$ into $\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} \cap \pi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}(u_1))$, which is mapped under π_2 onto $\mathcal{Z}(u_0)$, with degree $[O_{\check{F},1} : O_{\check{F}}] = q + 1$. Altogether we obtain an inequality of Cartier divisors, $\mathcal{Z}(\varpi u_0)^\circ + (q+1)\mathcal{Z}(u_0) \leq \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} * \mathcal{Z}(u_1)$. Since both divisors have degree $(q+1)^2$ over $\operatorname{Spf}O_{\check{F}}$, we obtain the equality of divisors

(7.4.5)
$$\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} * \mathcal{Z}(u_1) = \mathcal{Z}(\varpi u_0)^\circ + (q+1)\mathcal{Z}(u_0).$$

Taking both identities (7.4.4) and (7.4.5) together, we obtain

$$\mathcal{T}_1 * \mathcal{Z}(u_0) = \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} * \mathcal{Z}(u_1) = \mathcal{Z}(\varpi u_0)^\circ + (q+1)\mathcal{Z}(u_0),$$

as desired.

To prove (2), we see by the same argument that

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} * \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^m u_0)^\circ = \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^m u_1)^\circ + q \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^{m-1} u_1)^\circ$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_{\Gamma_0} * \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^m u_1)^\circ = \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^{m+1} u_0)^\circ + q \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^m u_0)^\circ.$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{T}_1 * \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^m u_0)^\circ = \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^{m+1} u_0)^\circ + 2q\mathcal{Z}(\varpi^m u_0)^\circ + q^2 \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^{m-1} u_0)^\circ,$$

as desired.

Let \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{Z}' be formal schemes finite over a closed formal subscheme of codimension one in \mathcal{N} , with classes $[\mathcal{Z}] \in K^{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{N})$, resp. $[\mathcal{Z}'] \in K^{\mathcal{Z}'}(\mathcal{N})$. Let $\mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$ be a correspondence. Assume that $\mathcal{Z} \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{Z}'))$ is an artinian scheme (with support in the unique point of \mathcal{N}_{red}). Then, by [21, Cor. 5.5], we have

(7.4.6)
$$\langle [\mathcal{Z}], \mathcal{T}_*([\mathcal{Z}']) \rangle_{\mathcal{N}} = \langle \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{Z}' \rangle_{Z^1(\mathcal{N})},$$

Here on the LHS appears the intersection product in K-theory (comp. (6.1.2)), and on the RHS appears the intersection number of properly intersecting cycles of codimension one on \mathcal{N} , cf. [21, Rem. 5.3].

Corollary 7.4.3. Let $m \ge 1$. Then for all $g \in U(\mathbb{V}_2)$ regular semisimple,

$$\operatorname{Int}(g,\phi_m) = \langle g\Delta, (\mathcal{T}_m^{\circ})_*(\Delta) \rangle_{\mathcal{N}} = 1$$

Proof. By $\Delta = \mathcal{Z}(u_0)$ and Proposition 7.4.2, we have by (7.4.6)

$$Int(g,\phi_m) = \langle g\Delta, \mathcal{T}_m^{\circ} * \Delta \rangle_{Z^1(\mathcal{N})} = \langle \mathcal{Z}(g \cdot u_0), \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^m u_0)^{\circ} \rangle_{Z^1(\mathcal{N})} = \langle \mathcal{Z}(g \cdot u_0), \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^m u_0) \rangle_{Z^1(\mathcal{N})} - \langle \mathcal{Z}(g \cdot u_0), \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^{m-1} u_0) \rangle_{Z^1(\mathcal{N})}.$$

It remains to compute $\langle \mathcal{Z}(g \cdot u_0), \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^m u_0) \rangle_{Z^1(\mathcal{N})}$ for all $m \geq 0$. We can use [17]. The fundamental matrix of the lattice $\langle g \cdot u_0, \varpi^m u_0 \rangle$ for the obvious basis is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varpi^m(g \cdot u_0, u_0) \\ \varpi^m(u_0, g \cdot u_0) & \varpi^{2m} \end{pmatrix}$$

and of the lattice $\langle g \cdot u_0, \varpi^{m-1} u_0 \rangle$ is

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varpi^{m-1}(g \cdot u_0, u_0) \\ \varpi^{m-1}(u_0, g \cdot u_0) & \varpi^{2(m-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that the valuation of $g \cdot u_0$ is zero and the space \mathbb{V} is non-split (hence val(det A) is odd). It follows that $(g \cdot u_0, u_0)$ is a unit, and the fundamental invariants of A (resp. A') are $(0, \operatorname{val}(\det A))$ (resp. $(0, \operatorname{val}(\det A) - 2)$). Then by [17] we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{Z}(g \cdot u_0), \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^m u_0)) \rangle_{Z^1(\mathcal{N})} = \frac{\operatorname{val}(\det(A)) + 1}{2}$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{Z}(g \cdot u_0), \mathcal{Z}(\varpi^{m-1}u_0)) \rangle_{Z^1(\mathcal{N})} = \frac{\operatorname{val}(\det(A)) - 1}{2}$$

The assertion follows.

Remark 7.4.4. Note that $\mathbb{T}_{\leq 2}$ is the composition of intertwining Hecke correspondences \mathbb{T}_2^+ and \mathbb{T}_2^- which correspond to elements in the Iwahori Hecke algebra. One may try to generalize the result above from the spherical Hecke algebra to the Iwahori Hecke algebra; we have not done so.

7.5. Comparison.

Theorem 7.5.1. Conjecture 6.1.4 holds when n = 1, namely AFL holds for the full Hecke algebra when n = 1.

Proof. When n = 1, the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat}}$ is trivial. Hence it suffices to show the inhomogeneous AFL conjecture 6.2.1. We show the identity for f running through the basis ϕ_m of \mathcal{H}_K .

By Lemma 7.1.1 (iii), we have $(\mathrm{BC}^{\eta}_S)^{-1}(\phi_m) = \tilde{\varphi}'_m \in \mathcal{H}_{K'_S}$ and hence we need to show that for matching g and γ ,

$$\operatorname{Int}(g,\phi_m) \cdot \log q = -\omega(\gamma) \,\partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \tilde{\varphi}'_m)$$

When $m \ge 1$, this follows from directly comparing Proposition 7.3.2 (for orbital integrals) and Corollary 7.4.3 (for intersection numbers). More precisely, without loss of generality, we let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in S_2(F_0)_{\rm rs}$ with v(c) = 0. Then it matches an element in $U(\mathbb{V}_2)_{\rm rs}$ if and only if $v(bc) = v(1 - a\overline{a})$ is odd (in particular $v(a) \ge 0$). Recall from [29, §2.4] that the transfer factor is defined as

$$\omega(\gamma) = (-1)^{v(\det(e,\gamma e))}$$

where $e = (0, 1)^t$ and hence $\det(e, \gamma e) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 1 & d \end{pmatrix} = -b$. Therefore, when $v(b) = v(1-a\bar{a})$ is odd, the transfer factor is $\omega(\gamma) = (-1)^{v(b)} = -1$ and hence, by Proposition 7.3.2, (ii),

$$-\omega(\gamma) \partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \tilde{\varphi}'_m) = \log q$$

On the other hand, by Corollary 7.4.3 we have

 $\operatorname{Int}(g,\phi_m) = 1$

for all $g \in U(\mathbb{V}_2)_{rs}$, which proves the desired identity.

The case m = 0 is the AFL (for the unit element in Hecke algebra) proved in [38]. We can also see this directly by using the facts proved in this section. The proof of Corollary 7.4.3 shows

$$Int(g,\phi_0) = \langle g\Delta, \Delta \rangle_{Z^1(\mathcal{N})} = \langle \mathcal{Z}(g \cdot u_0), \mathcal{Z}(u_0) \rangle_{Z^1(\mathcal{N})}$$
$$= \frac{val(\det(A)) + 1}{2},$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (g \cdot u_0, u_0) \\ (u_0, g \cdot u_0) & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $v(\det(A)) = v(1 - a\overline{a})$, in terms of the matrix form $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in U(\mathbb{V}_2)_{rs}$ using the basis $\{u_0, u_1\}$.

On the other hand, by Proposition 7.3.2 (ii), for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in S_2(F_0)_{\rm rs}$ we have

$$\partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma, \tilde{\varphi}'_0) = \log q \begin{cases} \frac{v(1-a\overline{a})+1}{2}, & v(1-a\overline{a}) \ge 0\\ 0, & v(1-a\overline{a}) < 0 \end{cases}$$

Matching g and γ have the same a. The computation of the transfer factor is the same as in the case $m \ge 1$. It follows that

$$\operatorname{Int}(g,\phi_0) \cdot \log q = -\omega(\gamma) \,\partial \operatorname{Orb}(\gamma,\tilde{\varphi}'_0) = \frac{\operatorname{val}(1-a\overline{a})+1}{2}$$

The proof is complete.

1		

Remark 7.5.2. The RZ space \mathcal{N}_2 is isomorphic to the Lubin–Tate deformation space in height two, cf. Remark 5.5.6. It is therefore natural to expect that the AFL for the full Hecke algebra is related to the linear AFL for the Hecke algebra of GL₂ considered by Li [20]. We do not see an immediate passage between the two statements.

8. Base-point freeness

In this section, we comment on how much information on an element of the Hecke algebra is contained in either side of the AFL, i.e., in the functionals on the Hecke algebra defined by the derivative of orbital integrals, resp. by the intersection numbers. It turns out that there is a surprising contrast between the functional defined by orbital integrals and that defined by the derivative of orbital integrals.

8.1. Orbital integrals. For orbital integrals, there is the following fact.

Proposition 8.1.1. The map

$$\operatorname{Orb}: \mathcal{H}_{K'^{\flat} \times K'} \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(G'_{\mathrm{rs}})$$

is injective.

Proof. We use a theorem of Beuzart-Plessis [1, Cor. 4.5.1]: For any $f' \in C_c^{\infty}(G')$, the orbital integral $\operatorname{Orb}(-, f')$ vanishes at all regular semisimple elements if and only if $I_{\Pi}(f') = 0$ for all $\Pi \in \Phi_{\text{temp}}(G'(F_0))$. Here $\Phi_{\text{temp}}(G'(F_0))$ denotes the set of irreducible tempered admissible representations of $G'(F_0)$, and $I_{\Pi}(f')$ is the local relative character associated to the local Rankin–Selberg period integral and the local Flicker–Rallis period integral.

Let $f' \in \mathcal{H}_{K'^b \times K'}$ with identically vanishing orbital integrals. Then by the above quoted theorem, $I_{\Pi}(f') = 0$ for all Π as above. In particular, $I_{\Pi}(f') = 0$ for all $\Pi \in \Phi_{\text{temp}}^{\text{ur}}(G'(F_0))$, the set of tempered unramified representations. However, for $\Pi \in \Phi_{\text{temp}}^{\text{ur}}(G'(F_0))$, we have $I_{\Pi}(f') = \lambda_{\Pi}(f')I_{\Pi}(\mathbf{1}_{K'})$. Here $\lambda_{\Pi} : \mathcal{H}_{K'^b \times K'} \to \mathbb{C}$ is the algebra homomorphism associated to Π or, equivalently, the evaluation of Sat(f') at the Satake parameter of Π . Note that $I_{\Pi}(\mathbf{1}_{K'}) \neq 0$ for $\Pi \in \Phi_{\text{temp}}^{\text{ur}}(G'(F_0))$. Hence $\lambda_{\Pi}(f') = 0$ for all $\Pi \in \Phi_{\text{temp}}^{\text{ur}}(G'(F_0))$. It follows that f' = 0 by the Satake isomorphism. \square

8.2. Derivative of orbital integrals. Let G'_{rs,W_1} denote the open subset of G'_{rs} consisting of regular semisimple elements matching with elements in the non-quasi-split unitary group G_{W_1} .

Conjecture 8.2.1. The map

$$\partial \operatorname{Orb} : \mathcal{H}_{K'^{\flat} \times K'} \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(G'_{\mathrm{rs},W_1})$$

has a large kernel, in the sense that the kernel generates the whole ring $\mathcal{H}_{K'}$ as an ideal (note that this kernel is only a vector subspace rather than an ideal). Similarly, the map defined by the intersection numbers, $\operatorname{Int} : \mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat} \times K} \to C^{\infty}(G'_{\mathrm{rs},W_1})$, has a large kernel. **Remark 8.2.2.** A weaker conjecture would be that "the kernel is not contained in any maximal ideal of \mathcal{H} corresponding to a tempered representation". Here a \mathbb{C} -point α of Spec $\mathcal{H}_{K'}$ is called tempered if, in terms of the coordinates in §3.4, we have $|\alpha_i| = 1$ for all i. There might be some other ways to formulate the smallness of the image. On the other hand, the image should not be too small, although we do not have a precise conjecture. In general it is unclear to us how to characterize the image.

Theorem 8.2.3. Conjecture 8.2.1 holds when n = 1.

Proof. When n = 1, the factors $\mathcal{H}_{K'^{\flat}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{K^{\flat}}$ are trivial. We note that the orbital integral map ∂Orb factors through the base change homomorphism BC. We now identify the set of orbits in G'_{rs} with the set of orbits in S_{rs} . The induced map

(8.2.1)
$$\partial \operatorname{Orb}_G : \mathcal{H}_K \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(G'_{\mathrm{rs},W_1})$$

can be written in terms of

$$\partial \operatorname{Orb}_G(-,\phi) := \omega_S(-) \partial \operatorname{Orb}(-, (\operatorname{BC}^\eta_S)^{-1}(\phi)), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{H}_K$$

Then the assertion is equivalent to the statement that the kernel of $\partial \operatorname{Orb}_G$ generates the whole ring \mathcal{H}_K as an ideal. We use the explicit results in Proposition 7.3.2, which shows that the image is exactly two dimensional, spanned by the image of ϕ_0 and any one of the $\phi_m, m \geq 1$. In particular, the kernel of $\partial \operatorname{Orb}_G$ is spanned by the set $\{\phi_m - \phi_1 \mid m \geq 2\}$. Equivalently it remains to show that the elements of this set have no common zero. From (7.1.4), we have for $m \geq 2$

$$\operatorname{Sat}(\phi_m - \phi_1) = q^m \sum_{i=-m}^m X^i - q^{m-1} \sum_{i=-(m-1)}^{m-1} X^i - q(X+1+X^{-1}) + 1.$$

It is straightforward to check that these Laurent polynomials have no common zero in $X \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Indeed, already these Laurent polynomials for m = 2 and m = 3 have no common zero. To see this, we can write these Laurent polynomials as polynomials P_2 , resp. P_3 , of degree 2, resp. 3, in $\mathbb{C}[X_1]$, where $X_1 = X + X^{-1}$. Long division shows that these polynomials are coprime, hence there are polynomials $R_2, R_3 \in \mathbb{C}[X_1]$ with $P_2R_2+P_3R_3 = 1$. Rewriting this identity in terms of $X^{\pm 1}$ shows that the Laurent polynomials for m = 2, 3 have no common zero. The proof is complete.

Remark 8.2.4. A similar result in the setting of the linear AFL (for GL_2 rather than U_2) could be deduced from the result of Q. Li [20, Prop. 7.6].

9. Appendix: Correspondences for formal schemes and maps on K-groups

In this appendix, we explain the calculus of correspondences that we use, comp. also [40, App. B]. Let \check{O} be a strictly henselian DVR. We consider locally noetherian formal schemes, locally formally of finite type over \check{O} . For such a formal scheme \mathcal{X} and a closed formal subscheme A of \mathcal{X} , we denote by $K^A(\mathcal{X})$ the Grothendieck group of finite complexes

of locally free modules over the structure sheaf which are acyclic outside A, comp. [11]. We similarly have the Grothendieck group K'(A) formed by finite complexes of coherent modules on A.

9.1. Induced map on K-groups. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be formal O-schemes as above. Let $\mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{X} \times_{O} \mathcal{Y}$ be a *geometric correspondence*, i.e., a formal scheme as specified above, with a morphism of formal schemes as indicated. We also simply write \mathcal{T} for this correspondence and denote by $p_{\mathcal{X}}$, resp. $p_{\mathcal{Y}}$, the maps to \mathcal{X} , resp. \mathcal{Y} . We assume that \mathcal{Y} is regular and that $p_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is a proper morphism. Then \mathcal{T} defines a map on K-groups with supports,

(9.1.1)
$$\mathcal{T}_* \colon K^A(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{T}(A)}(\mathcal{Y}), \quad x \longmapsto Rp_{\mathcal{Y},*}(p_{\mathcal{X}}^*(x)).$$

Here $\mathcal{T}(A) = p_{\mathcal{Y}}(p_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(A))$. Let us explain the construction of the map. The map is the composition of the maps

$$(9.1.2) p_{\mathcal{X}}^* \colon K^A(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow K^{p_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(A)}(\mathcal{T}), \quad p_{\mathcal{Y},*} \colon K^{p_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(A)}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{T}(A)}(\mathcal{Y}).$$

For the first map, let $x \in K^A(\mathcal{X})$ be represented by a finite complex of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ modules acyclic outside A. Then its base change to \mathcal{T} is a finite complex of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}}$ -modules which is acyclic outside $p_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(A)$, cf. [11, §1.5]. The image $p_{\mathcal{X}}^*(x)$ is defined to be the class of this complex. The second map is the composition of three maps. First, the natural map $K^{p_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(A)}(\mathcal{T}) \to K'(p_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(A))$, sending a complex C which is acyclic outside a closed subset to the alternating sum of the classes in K' of the cohomology sheaves of C. Second, the full direct image map $K'(p_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(A)) \to K'(p_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{T}(A)))$, defined by the properness of $p_{\mathcal{Y}}$. Third, the identification $K'(\mathcal{T}(A)) = K^{\mathcal{T}(A)}(\mathcal{Y})$ by the regularity of \mathcal{Y} , cf. [11, Lem. 1.9].

9.2. Composition. Recall the composition of geometric correspondences. Let $\mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{X} \times_{\check{O}} \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{Y} \times_{\check{O}} \mathcal{Z}$ be geometric correspondences as above. The composition $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{T}$ of these correspondences \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S} is defined by the following diagram with cartesian square,



In other words, the projections for \mathcal{U} are $r_{\mathcal{X}} = p_{\mathcal{X}} \circ q'_{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $r_{\mathcal{Z}} = q_{\mathcal{Z}} \circ p'_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Assume now that \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{Z} are regular and the morphisms $p_{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $q_{\mathcal{Z}}$ proper, so that also $r_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is proper. Let A be a closed formal subscheme of \mathcal{X} . Then the three maps are defined,

$$\mathcal{T}^{A}_{*} \colon K^{A}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{T}(A)}(\mathcal{Y}), \quad \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{T}(A)}_{*} \colon K^{\mathcal{T}(A)}(\mathcal{Y}) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{U}(A)}(\mathcal{Z}), \quad \mathcal{U}^{A}_{*} \colon K^{A}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{U}(A)}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Lemma 9.2.1. Assume that the maps $p_{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $q_{\mathcal{Y}}$ are tor-independent, i.e., $\mathcal{T}or_{j}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}},\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}) = 0, \forall j > 0, cf.$ [31, Def. 36.22.2]. Then

$$\mathcal{U}^A_* = \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{T}(A)}_* \circ \mathcal{T}^A_* \colon K^A(X) \longrightarrow K^{\mathcal{U}(A)}(Z).$$

Proof. We need to show that

$$Rq_{\mathcal{Z},*}\left(Rp'_{\mathcal{Y},*}(q'_{\mathcal{X}}^{*}(p_{\mathcal{X}}^{*}(x)))\right) = Rq_{\mathcal{Z},*}\left(q_{\mathcal{Y}}^{*}(Rp_{\mathcal{Y},*}(p_{\mathcal{X}}^{*}(x)))\right).$$

Here $q_{\mathcal{Y}}^*(Rp_{\mathcal{Y},*}(p_{\mathcal{X}}^*(x)))$ makes sense as an element in $K(\mathcal{S})$ since, by the regularity of \mathcal{Y} , the complex $Rp_{\mathcal{Y},*}(p_{\mathcal{X}}^*(x))$ can be interpreted as an element in $K(\mathcal{Y})$. It therefore suffices to prove the equality of elements in $K'(\mathcal{S})$,

$$Rp'_{\mathcal{Y},*}(q'^*_{\mathcal{X}}(p^*_{\mathcal{X}}(x))) = q^*_{\mathcal{Y}}(Rp_{\mathcal{Y},*}(p^*_{\mathcal{X}}(x))).$$

This follows from base change for the cartesian square, again representing $Rp_{\mathcal{Y},*}(p_{\mathcal{X}}^*(x))$ by a finite complex of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ -modules, cf. [31, Lem. 36.22.5].

Remark 9.2.2. We use this lemma only in the case when one of the two morphisms $p_{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $q_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is flat. In general, the identity $\mathcal{U}_*^A = \mathcal{S}_*^{\mathcal{T}(A)} \circ \mathcal{T}_*^A$ does not hold. However, it does always hold in the context of *derived formal schemes*. Indeed, in this context, the base change formula used above always holds, comp. [10, part III, ch. 3, Prop. 2.2.2].

References

- Raphaël Beuzart-Plessis. Comparison of local relative characters and the Ichino-Ikeda conjecture for unitary groups, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu (2020) 1–52. 42
- [2] Raphaël Beuzart-Plessis. A new proof of Jacquet-Rallis's fundamental lemma, Duke Math. J. 170 (2021), no. 12, 2805–2814. 2
- [3] Raphael Beuzart-Plessis, Yifeng Liu, Wei Zhang, and Xinwen Zhu. Isolation of cuspidal spectrum, with application to the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture. Ann. of Math. (2) 194 (2021), no. 2, 519–584. 5
- [4] Oliver Bültel and Torsten Wedhorn. Congruence relations for Shimura varieties associated to some unitary groups. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 5 (2006), no. 2, 229–261. 5
- [5] Daniel Disegni. The p-adic Gross-Zagier formula on Shimura curves. Compos. Math. 153 (2017), no. 10, 1987–2074. 6
- [6] Daniel Disegni and Wei Zhang. Arithmetic diagonal cycles and derivatives of p-adic L-functions. In preparation. 6, 7
- [7] Najmuddin Fakhruddin and Vincent Lafforgue, Hecke operators and the coherent cohomology of Shimura varieties. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 22 (2023), no. 1, 1–69. 5
- [8] Gerd Faltings and Ching-Li Chai. Degeneration of abelian varieties. With an appendix by David Mumford. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) 22. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. xii+316 pp. 5
- [9] Tony Feng, Zhiwei Yun, and Wei Zhang. Higher theta series for unitary groups over function fields. arXiv:2110.07001 7
- [10] Dennis, Gaitsgory, Nick Rosenblyum, A study in derived algebraic geometry, I. Correspondences and duality. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 221. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017. xl+533pp. 45

- [11] Henri Gillet and Christophe Soulé. Intersection theory using Adams operations. Invent. Math. 90 (1987), no. 2, 243–277. 24, 44
- [12] Ulrich Görtz. On the flatness of models of certain Shimura varieties of PEL-type. Math. Ann. 321 (2001), no. 3, 689–727. 22, 23
- [13] Ulrich Görtz, Xuhua He, and Michael Rapoport. Extremal cases of Rapoport-Zink spaces. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 21 (2022), no. 5, 1727–1782. 24, 25
- [14] Xuhua He, Chao Li, and Yihang Zhu. Fine Deligne-Lusztig varieties and arithmetic fundamental lemmas. Forum Math. Sigma 7 (2019), e47, 55 pp. 2
- [15] Hervé Jacquet and Stephen Rallis. On the Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups. On certain L-functions, 205–264, Clay Math. Proc., 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011. 1
- [16] Jean-Stefan Koskivirta. Congruence relations for Shimura varieties associated with GU(n 1, 1). Canad. J. Math. 66 (2014), no. 6, 1305–1326. 5
- [17] Stephen S. Kudla and Michael Rapoport. Special cycles on unitary Shimura varieties I. Unramified local theory. Invent. Math., 184(3) (2011):629–682. 3, 22, 25, 38, 40
- [18] Si Ying Lee. Eichler-Shimura Relations for Shimura Varieties of Hodge Type. arXiv:2006.11745 5
- [19] Spencer Leslie. A fundamental lemma for the Hecke algebra: the Jacquet-Rallis case. J. Number Theory, 243 (2023), 475–494. 2, 13, 14, 15
- [20] Qirui Li. An intersection formula for CM cycles on Lubin-Tate spaces. Duke Math. J. 171 (2022), no. 9, 1923–2011. 42, 43
- [21] Qirui Li and Andreas Mihatsch. On the linear AFL: the non-basic case. arXiv:2208.10144 4, 26, 37, 38, 39
- [22] Yifeng Liu, Yichao Tian, Liang Xiao, Wei Zhang, and Xinwen Zhu. On the Beilinson-Bloch-Kato conjecture for Rankin-Selberg motives, Invent. Math. 228 (2022), no. 1, 107–375. 3, 11, 12, 17, 19
- [23] Andreas Mihatsch. Relative unitary RZ-spaces and the Arithmetic Fundamental Lemma. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, 21 (2022), no. 1, 241–301. 21, 28
- [24] Andreas Mihatsch and Wei Zhang. On the Arithmetic Fundamental Lemma conjecture over a general p-adic field. arXiv:2104.02779. JEMS, to appear. 2
- [25] Omer Offen, Relative spherical functions on p-adic symmetric spaces (three cases), Pac. J. Math. 215
 (1) (2004) 97-149. 14
- [26] Vincent Pilloni. Higher coherent cohomology and p-adic modular forms of singular weights. Duke Math. J. 169 (2020), no. 9, 1647–1807. 5
- [27] Dinakar Ramakrishnan. A mild Tchebotarev theorem for GL(n). J. Number Theory 146 (2015), 519– 533. 5
- [28] Michael Rapoport, Brian Smithling, and Wei Zhang. On the arithmetic transfer conjecture for exotic smooth formal moduli spaces. Duke Math. J., 166(12):2183–2336, 2017. 8, 9, 10, 15, 21
- [29] Michael Rapoport, Brian Smithling, and Wei Zhang. Regular formal moduli spaces and arithmetic transfer conjectures. Math. Ann., 370(3-4):1079–1175, 2018. 2, 10, 15, 16, 34, 41
- [30] Michael Rapoport and Thomas Zink. Period spaces for p-divisible groups, volume 141 of Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996. 5, 21, 22, 23
- [31] The Stacks Project Authors. Stacks Project. http://stacks.math.columbia.edu. 45
- [32] Ulrich Terstiege, On the regularity of special difference divisors. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 351 (2013), no. 3-4, 107–109. 38
- [33] Torsten Wedhorn. Congruence relations on some Shimura varieties. J. Reine Angew. Math. 524 (2000), 43–71. 5
- [34] Liang Xiao and Xinwen Zhu. Cycles on Shimura varieties via geometric Satake. Examples. Addendum to arXiv:1707.05700 12

- [35] Zhiwei Yun. The fundamental lemma of Jacquet and Rallis, With an appendix by Julia Gordon. Duke Math. J. 156 (2011), no. 2, 167–227. 2
- [36] Zhiwei Yun, Wei Zhang. Shtukas and the Taylor expansion of L-functions, Ann. of Math., Vol. 186 (2017), no. 3, 767–911. 5
- [37] Zhiwei Yun, Wei Zhang. Shtukas and the Taylor expansion of L-functions (II). Ann. of Math. Vol. 189 (2019), no. 2, 393–526. 5
- [38] Wei Zhang. On arithmetic fundamental lemmas. Invent. Math. 188 (2012), no. 1, 197–252. 2, 3, 41
- [39] Wei Zhang. Fourier transform and the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups. Ann. of Math. (2) 180 (2014), no. 3, 971–1049. 5
- [40] Wei Zhang. Weil representation and arithmetic fundamental lemma. Ann. of Math. (2) 193 (2021), no. 3, 863–978. 2, 43
- [41] Zhiyu Zhang. Maximal parahoric arithmetic transfers, resolutions and modularity. arXiv:2112.11994 2

Columbia University, Department of Mathematics, 2990 Broadway, New York, NY 10027, USA

Email address: chaoli@math.columbia.edu

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT BONN, ENDENICHER ALLEE 60, 53115 BONN, GERMANY, AND UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742, USA Email address: rapoport@math.uni-bonn.de

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139, USA

Email address: weizhang@mit.edu